

AmigaMail

COLLABORATORS

	<i>TITLE :</i> AmigaMail		
<i>ACTION</i>	<i>NAME</i>	<i>DATE</i>	<i>SIGNATURE</i>
WRITTEN BY		March 14, 2022	

REVISION HISTORY

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION	NAME

Contents

1	AmigaMail	1
1.1	III-17: Signalling with SIGF_SINGLE	1

Chapter 1

AmigaMail

1.1 III-17: Signalling with SIGF_SINGLE

Signalling with SIGF_SINGLE

by John Orr

The ROM Kernel Reference Manuals state that sixteen of a task's 32 signal bits are reserved for the operating system's private use, but, like any good rule, there is an exception. One of these sixteen bits, the SIGF_SINGLE bit, can be useful to some applications, if used correctly.

Many system functions need to put their task to sleep while waiting for a single event, which requires using one of the task's signals. Rather than forcing each of these system functions to allocate a signal, then Wait(), then deallocate the signal, the operating system has permanently allocated one signal, the SIGF_SINGLE, for this type of signalling. When a system function needs stop a task to Wait() for a single signal, it can use SIGF_SINGLE.

The only purpose a program can use SIGF_SINGLE for is Wait()ing because the task cannot call any system functions while it is using SIGF_SINGLE. A program that calls system functions while using SIGF_SINGLE can cause itself and the operating system serious problems because the system functions can use SIGF_SINGLE as well. If a program calls a system function while using SIGF_SINGLE, two bad things can happen:

- 1) The errant task's event takes place before the system function waits on SIGF_SINGLE (or while the system function is waiting on SIGF_SINGLE). In this case, the system function will think its event has taken place because its signal became set. The errant task will never find out that its event has taken place, as the system function will clear the SIGF_SINGLE bit after Wait()ing on it.

- 2) The errant task's event and the system function's event take place while the system function is waiting on SIGF_SINGLE. In this case, the system function will function normally, clear the SIGF_SINGLE

bit, and exit. The errant task will never know that its event has taken place.

Before `Wait()`ing on `SIGF_SINGLE`, clear it using `SetSignal()`:

```
SetSignal(0L, SIGF_SINGLE);
```

This step is necessary because it is possible that the last system function that used the `SIGF_SINGLE` signal did not clear the `SIGF_SINGLE` bit.

Also, an application should not wait on other signals while it is waiting on `SIGF_SINGLE`. Waiting on other signals at the same time makes it possible for a program to wake up while the `SIGF_SINGLE` is still outstanding. If this happens, the program will still have to go back to sleep, which requires calling a system function.

`SIGF_Single.c` is a simple example of using the `SIGF_SINGLE` signal. It starts a child process and waits for that child process to signal the main process using the `SIGF_SINGLE` signal.

`SIGF_Single.c`
