Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-9

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to "X.25" should be specifically to "X.25-1988".

3. All references to "ISO 8208" should be specifically to "ISO 8208:1988" (the second edition).

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-8

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

4. There appears to be no current requirement for a class 2 only profile. If this is in fact the case, Group TE should be eliminated in its entirety.

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-7

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-6

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-5

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-4

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

4. References to the ISO 8073 PICS proforma should be to the current ISO 8073/DAM3 text, which is considered to be relatively stable.

5. There appears to be no current requirement for a class 2 only profile. If this is in fact the case, Group TE should be eliminated in its entirety.

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-3

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

4. References to the ISO 8073 PICS proforma should be to the current ISO 8073/DAM3 text, which is considered to be relatively stable.

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-2

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

4. References to the ISO 8073 PICS proforma should be to the current ISO 8073/DAM3 text, which is considered to be relatively stable.

5. In clause 5.2.2, subsection (c), correct the spelling of the word parameter .

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10609-1

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

3. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

4. References to the ISO 8073 PICS proforma should be to the current ISO 8073/DAM3 text, which is considered to be relatively stable.

5. Add Annex D to the Table of Contents.

6. In clause A.2.2, correct the spelling of the word parameters in 14CC9; of the word throughput in 14C13; and of the word confirmation in R4AK6.

7. In Annex B, change the second text reference to Annex B to Annex C .

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10608-5

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. The Table of Contents headings do not match the headings of the sub-clauses in the Annexes.

3. All references to X.25 should be specifically to X.25-1988 .

4. All references to ISO 8208 should be specifically to ISO 8208:1988 (the second edition).

5. The ISO 8208 PICS proforma is missing from Annex A.

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10608-2

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. On page 2-6, the forward reference in clause 5.2.1.1 to clause 6.2.2.1.1 should be to clause 5.2.2.1.1 .

3. On page 2-8, the bit ordering of the MAC address contained in the BSNPA field of ISO 9542 RD PDUs must be explicitly specified, and it must be stated that the field contains the MAC address only (rather than the combination of MAC address and LLC address). The bit ordering should be specified as the binary representation of MAC addresses specified in ISO 10039 .

4. On page 2-15, LR4 should have mm for the profile status. It is not clear what mo means for a Test command received; it is no different from the XID command received.

Comments on ISO/IEC DISP 10608-1

1. All references to ISO 8073 should be specifically to ISO 8073:1988 (the second edition), which contains corrections that were made as a result of defect reports submitted and balloted since the first edition was published. This establishes the appropriate current reference point for the Transport protocol in all of the Transport ISPs. Consequently, there should be no reference in any of the Transport ISPs to ISO 8073 defect reports, nor should any of the Transport ISPs contain a list of defect reports.

2. Clause 6 is missing from the Table of Contents.

3. The ISO 8073 PICS proforma is missing from Annex A. References to the ISO 8073 PICS proforma should be to the current ISO 8073/DAM3 text, which is considered to be relatively stable.

Accredited Standards Committee*
X3, INFORMATION PROCESSING SYSTEMS

X3S3.3/90-348R
18 December, 1990

A. Lyman Chapin
BBN Communications
150 Cambridge Park Drive
Cambridge, MA 02140
617 873-3133
lyman@bbn.com

To: X3S3

From: X3S3.3

Subject: Proposed comments on DISP 10608 and DISP 10609

Task group X3S3.3 recommends the following responses to the ballots on the Transport DISPs:

1. **NO** on 10608 parts 1 and 5
2. **YES** on 10608 part 2
3. **NO** on all parts (1 through 9) of 10609

The comments which should accompany each ballot are given below. The comments which apply to each part of 10608 and 10609 are contained on a separate page.