
WWW Distributed Authoring and Versioning (WEBDAV)

Activity Overview

Jim Whittenead, U.C. Irvine

Chair, WEBDAV BOF

San Jose IETF, December 11, 1996

Overview

■ What is WEBDAV?

■ History of WWW Distributed Authoring

■ Summary of working group meetings

■ Current work, plans for the future...

■ Summary of proposed protocol specification

What is WEBDAV?

Working Group on Distributed Authoring and Versioning on the World Wide Web

Goal: to enable distributed web authoring tools to be broadly interoperable.

Home page:

<http://www.ics.uci.edu/~ejw/authoring/>

Facets of WEBDAV

There are many ways to view the DAV work:

Collaboration infrastructure

Meta-data infrastructure

Collection infrastructure

Versioning infrastructure

Hypermedia infrastructure

Collaboration Infrastructure

- Whole and partial resource locking supports:
 - remote collaborative authoring of HTML pages and associated images
 - remote collaborative authoring of any media type (word processing, presentations, etc.)
- Infrastructure for development of asynchronous, widely distributed, hypertext-aware, collaborative editing tools.

Meta-data Infrastructure

Meta-data support

(name, value) pairs, such as (“Author”, “Neal Stephenson”) can be created, modified, queried, and read on Web resources.

first class relationships allow the relations between resources to be captured (source code “implements” requirements, “table of contents”)

Infrastructure for recording information
about Web data

Collection Infrastructure

Remote name space management:

- Copy resources

- Move resources

- Create and modify containers of resources (such as directories)

- Redirect queries for resources

Infrastructure for remotely organizing and viewing collections of Web resources

Versioning Infrastructure

☐ Versioning is integral (not an afterthought)

☐ check-out, check-in

☐ version graph history

☐ give comments on check-out/check-in

☐ server merge/diff

☐ browse old versions

☐ Infrastructure for remotely versioning Web resources

Hypermedia Infrastructure

- ☐ The Web is predominantly HTML and bitmap images.
- ☐ WEBDAV provides facilities for creating multiway relationships (or n-ary relationships) between resources of *any media type*.
- ☐ Infrastructure for authoring hypermedia among all media types.

Distributed Authoring History (1990-1994)

- 1990: NeXT station prototype web authoring/browsing tool
 - WYSIWYG editing, inline creation of links
 - But, most of the world never sees it, instead sees widely distributed line-mode browser
- 1993: PUT added to HTTP/1.0 specification, but not implemented by NCSA
- 1993/4: Mosaic 2.4 reaches critical mass.
 - "Publish/Browse" becomes the dominant model for the web

Distributed Authoring History (1994-95)

■ 1994: NaviPress/NaviServer developed

■ 1994: Vermeer FrontPage developed

■ 1994/1995: W3C Line Mode Browser

■ Dec '95: WWW4 breakout session on
distributed authoring tools

■ [http://www.w3.org/pub/WWW/Collaboration/
9512www4/auth-tools](http://www.w3.org/pub/WWW/Collaboration/9512www4/auth-tools)

WWW4 Breakout Session (Dec. 1995)

- ☐ Focus of session: Interoperability among distributed (i.e. client/server) web authoring tools
- ☐ Identified interoperability issues:
 - ☐ Common Access Control Model
 - ☐ “Lost Update” problem
 - ☐ New HTTP Methods: BROWSE, MKDIR
 - ☐ Editing Variants
 - ☐ Access to “raw” HTML before SSI processing
 - ☐ Strong authentication (e.g. MD5)

Distributed Authoring History (1995-1996)

- 1995 NaviPress/NaviServer and Vermeer FrontPage are released to public and start developing market for distributed authoring tools
- 1995 PUT moved to appendix of HTTP/1.0 spec. (i.e., postponed to HTTP/1.1)
- 1995/96: Rohit Khare at W3C researches a leasing and locking mechanism
 - <http://xent.w3.org/~khare/6.852%20Project.html/index.html>

Distributed Authoring History (early 1996)

- 1996: Vermeer purchased by Microsoft.
Navisoft absorbed into America Online.
These activities indicate major corporate support for distributed authoring.
- March 1996: Dan Connolly puts out a call for volunteers to coordinate distributed authoring activity
 - Jim Whitehead, U.C. Irvine, volunteers

Distributed Authoring History (mid 1996)

- May 1996: Formation of separate Distributed Authoring, Versioning WGs
 - mailing lists, home pages created
 - collection of interested parties
- 1996: Release of intranet-enabled word processors (Lotus WordPro, Microsoft Word) with HTML authoring support
- 1996: Netscape Navigator Gold supports HTTP PUT

Distributed Authoring History (cont'd)

- ☐ June 1996: IETF HTTP working group completes internet draft of HTTP/1.1
 - ☐ PUT method is part of standard
- ☐ July 1996: IETF HTTP working group completes internet draft on digest authentication
- ☐ July 1996: Meeting on distributed authoring on the WWW at AOL Productions, San Mateo, CA.

Distributed Authoring History (cont'd)

- September 1996: Separate working groups merge to form Working Group on Distributed Authoring and Versioning on the World Wide Web (WEBDAV)
 - first draft working group charter
- September 1996: WEBDAV meeting at MIT Faculty Club, Cambridge Mass.
 - Reaches agreement on requirements for distributed authoring, versioning

Distributed Authoring History (cont'd)

- ❑ November 14-15, 1996: WEBDAV meeting at Xerox PARC, Palo Alto California
 - ❑ Protocol design issues discussed in detail
 - ❑ Many major design decisions settled
- ❑ December 4-5, 1996: W3C Symposium: Distributed Authoring: Present and Future
- ❑ December 11, 1996: WEBDAV BOF at San Jose IETF Meeting.

San Mateo Meeting Summary

- ☐ Held July, 10, 1996 at AOL Productions, San Mateo, California (SF Bay Area)
- ☐ Brought together people interested in WWW Distributed Authoring and Versioning
- ☐ Presentations by Ron Fein (MS Word), Andrew Schulert (MS FrontPage), Dave Long (AOL), and Henrik Nielsen (W3C)

San Mateo Meeting Summary

Outcomes:

- better understanding of DAV issues
- recognition of existing interoperability problems
- commitment to move forward
- need to move quickly

Cambridge Meeting Summary

- Held September 16, 1996, at MIT Faculty Club, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Boston)
- Presentations by Yaron Goland (Microsoft), Jim Whitehead (UC Irvine), David Durand (Boston University) on requirements for distributed authoring and versioning
- Discussion of draft requirements documents

Cambridge Meeting Summary

Meeting Outcomes:

- General agreement on DAV requirements

- Ready to start work on protocol specification document

 - Identified an editor (Del Jensen, Novell), and authors (Yaron Goland, Microsoft), Jim Whitehead (UC Irvine)

- Still need to move quickly

Palo Alto Meeting Summary

- ☐ Held November 14-15, at Xerox PARC, Palo Alto, California (SF Bay Area)
- ☐ Presentations by Kenji Ota (NTT Labs) and Yaron Goland (Microsoft) on their approaches to distributed authoring and versioning
- ☐ Jim Cunningham and Asad Faizi, Netscape, circulated a draft protocol spec.


Palo Alto Meeting Summary

Meeting Outcomes:


- Reached rough consensus on many design issues (use of methods, don't develop a new set of core attributes, versions of a resource should be addressable, variants can be versioned)
- Agreement to work on only a single protocol specification draft
- Pursue sponsorship by IETF and W3C
- Keep working quickly

Current Work

Requirements Document

 Merge current distributed authoring requirements and distributed versioning requirements into a single document

 Editor: David Durand, Boston University

 Initial draft, January 1997

 Submission as Informational RFC, May 1997

Current Work (cont'd)

□ Protocol Specification

□ Incorporate key ideas from all drafts
(Ota/Takahashi, Cunningham/Faizi,
Goland/Whitehead)

□ Author's retreat 3rd week December

□ Editor: Del Jensen, Novel

□ Final draft, March 1997

□ Submission as Proposed Standard RFC, June
1997

Current Work (cont'd)

Scenarios document

Gather scenarios of usage of distributed authoring and versioning technology as a sanity check for requirements, protocol specification

Editor: Ora Lassila, Nokia

Submission as Informational RFC, February 1997

Current Work

☐ Sponsorship by IETF

☐ In-progress

☐ Have a draft working group charter, have applied to be an IETF WG


☐ Discussed with Application Area Directors at San Jose IETF Meeting


Upcoming DAV Events

 WEBDAV BOF at San Jose IETF Meeting

 Wednesday, December 11, morning session

 WEBDAV Working Group Meeting

 January 27-28, 1997, U.C. Irvine

 details on mailing list and home page

Getting Involved

- ❑ How can you become more involved in the WEBDAV Working Group?
 - ❑ Join the mailing list (*w3c-dist-auth@w3.org*)
 - ❑ Send message with subject “subscribe” to *w3c-dist-auth-request@w3.org*
- ❑ Attend a working group meeting
- ❑ Plan on developing an implementation of the protocol specification
- ❑ Other ideas? Let me know!


Begin Editing Requirements

- ❑ **Source Retrieval.** The source of any given resource should be retrievable via HTTP.
- ❑ **Notification of Intention to Edit.** It should be possible to notify the HTTP server that a resource is about to be edited by a given person. It should be possible to query the HTTP server for the list of people who have notified the server of their intent to edit a resource.

Locking Requirements

- ❑ **Write Locks.** It should be possible to restrict modification of a resource to a specific person, or list of persons. It should be possible to set single or multi-person write locks with a single action.
- ❑ **No-Modify Locks.** It should be possible to indicate to the HTTP server that the contents of an resource should not be modified until the no-modify lock is released. It should be possible to assign a no-modify lock to a single person or a list of persons with a single action.

Locking (cont'd)

 **Read Locks.** It should be possible to restrict the ability to read a resource to a specific person, or list of persons. It should be possible to set single or multi-person read locks with a single action.

Locking (cont'd)

- ❑ **Lock Query.** It should be possible to query for whether a given resource has any active modification restrictions, and if so, who currently has modification permission.
- ❑ **Independence of locks.** It should be possible to lock a resource without re-reading the resource, and without committing to editing a resource.

Locking (cont'd)

- ❑ **Multi-Resource Locking.** It should be possible to take out a write or read lock on multiple resources in the same action, and this locking operation must be atomic across these resources.
- ❑ **Partial-Resource Locking.** It should be possible to take out a write or a read lock on subsections of a resource.


Meta Data Requirements

- ☐ **Relationships.** It should be possible to create, query and delete typed relationships between resources of *any media type*.
- ☐ **Attributes.** It should be possible to create, modify, query, read and delete arbitrary attributes on resources of *any media type*.

Container/Directory Requirements

- ☐ **List URL Hierarchy Level.** A listing of all resources, along with their media type, and last modified date, which are located at a specific URL hierarchy level in an http URL scheme should be accessible via HTTP, so long as this operation is meaningful.
- ☐ **Make URL Hierarchy Level.** It should be possible to create a new URL hierarchy level in an http URL scheme.

Partial Write Requirement

 **Partial Write.** After editing a resource, it should be possible to only write the changes to an resource, rather than retransmitting the entire resource.

Copy/Move Requirements

- ☐ **Copy.** It should be possible to make a byte-for-byte duplicate of a resource without a client loading, then resaving the resource. This copy should leave an audit trail.
- ☐ **Move/Rename.** It should be possible to change the URL of an resource without a client loading, then resaving the resource under a different name.

Versioning Requirements

- ☐ **Check-out/Check-in.** It should be possible to check-out, and then check-in a resource.
- ☐ **Comments.** It should be possible to assign comments to a check-out or check-in.
- ☐ **Addressability.** All versions of a resource are themselves resources, and are individually addressable.

Versioning Requirements

- ❑ **History.** It should be possible to retrieve, in a single resource, a description of the predecessor and successor relationships between versions of the same resource.
- ❑ **Style-freedom.** Versioning should be kept free of assumptions concerning the versioning style (e.g. RCS-like, CVS-like).

