

## **Pedagogic Research**

Ian Hughes
University of Leeds, UK
i.e.hughes@leeds.ac.uk



# Getting started in PedR - what are the issues?

- Does participating in PedR matter to staff?
- How is work on PedR funded?
- Do I have time for PedR?
- What area of PedR should be tackled?
- Is PedR different from science research?
- Where do I publish work on PedR?
- Coping with the background literature of PedR
- Case study me!!
- Take home messages



# Does participating in PedR matter to staff?

### For T+R staff – university's mission/attitude/promotion criteria?

- keeps options open
- RAE scores?

### For T only staff

- research is part of being a good professional teacher
- improves CV, helps promotion
- increases credibility
- reflects your own interests
- diversifies and adds interest to the job
- wider portfolio
- increases network of contacts
- outside funding expected
- increased options for future employment



### How is work on PedR funded?

- does it need to be? (outside funding expected)
- EU grants (DON'T lead)
- subject centre grants
- university teaching development funds
- national bodies Leverhume etc
- charities Garfield Weston, Rowntree
- UTF/NTF awards
- professional/learned societies
- collaborate with CETLs
- something your faculty/dept needs to know?



### Do I have time for PedR?

- buyout if funded
- what's it worth to you?
- how much time is really involved?
- you are already spending the time doing this it's only the writing up that's additional
- the issue is doing it in a way that can be published doing the same work but doing it differently – with the objective at the start of writing it up
- use project students

### **THINK WRITE-UP – from the start**



# What area of PedR should be tackled?

- whatever you are changing or having to do differently anyway
- other people have the same problems and are interested in your solutions
- what works for you to solve your problems?
- where you see there are issues which need investigation? (differentiated learning: enterprise +entrepreneurship: student attitudes.....)
- you make changes in courses, did they make things better or worse?

## Big question, small question, action research



# Is PedR different from science research?

### YES!!

- students complain if not treated equally
- they don't all respond/reply
- you can't get another down from the animal house
- there's not always a theory-based hypothesis
- there are windows of opportunity in the teaching year
- you make up the methods as you go along
- some of it is touchy-feely; not nice numbers!

### NO!!

- measurement validity/accuracy, sampling, replies, statistics,
- focus, identification of question
- cope with disappointment
- design is critical apply basic principles (bias, variation)



## Where do I publish work on PedR?

- professional discipline journals some don't
- education journals
- subject centre journals and news bulletins
- internet journals generally much more available now
- university data repositories

What do you want out of publication?

How good is the work?

Not all publication is the same, just as in science



# Coping with the background literature for PedR

This is the area where most help is needed: there are several strategies to cope with the problem!

- ask an expert!
- leave it out and wait for the referees to tell you what to put in
- become expert (takes ages, compare with discipline science literature)
- find a related paper and pinch the references



## Case study – me!!!

- Interested in T & R held MRC, SRC, CASE, Wellcome, industry grants for science research (25y, neurotransmitters. New pracs and new tutorials;
- 1978-1984 computer based MCQ students know what to expect in exam
- 1980-1987 simulations of pharmacology laboratory preparations meet needs for students who don't get data
- 1984-1990 HoD -----choice moment
- 1984-1990 developed computer based tutor
- 1990-1993 Pharmacology Higher Education Network (DfEE funded)
- 1993-1997 TLTP2, development of technology based teaching resources (HEFCE)
- 1995-2000 peer assessment too much marking!
- 1998-2001 TLTP3, implementation of technology based resources (HEFCE)
- 1999-2003 EpharNet European pharmacology network (EU funded)
- 2000-2005 students' and employers' views on our courses
- 2001-2004 assessment audit to improve assessment generally (NTF)
- 2001-2005 European software collaboration (EU funded)
- 2003- other audits (employability, work placements, external examining, enterprise ....)
- 2006 student attitudes, student views on year 1 practicals
- 20 full papers and 40 refereed publications on teaching



## Some publication titles

- Some experiments in using a computer-based learning system as an aid to self-teaching and self-assessment. Computing and Education
- Staff & peer group assessment of oral communication skills. Studies in Higher Education
- Peer assessment of student practical reports and its influence on learning and skill acquisition. Capability
- Knowledge and skills needs of pharmacology graduates in first employment: how do pharmacology courses measure up? Trends in Pharmacological Sciences
- Do computer simulations of laboratory practicals meet learning needs?
   Trends in Pharmacological Sciences
- Computer based learning an aid to the successful teaching of pharmacology?
   Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology
- Employment and employability for pharmacology graduates. Nature Reviews (Drug Discovery)
- Teaching pharmacology in 2010 new knowledge, new tools, new attitudes.
   Folia Pharmacologica Japonica
- Coping strategies for staff involved in assessment of laboratory write-ups.
   Bioscience Education Electronic journal
- Skills and Knowledge needs among recent bioscience graduates how do our courses measure up? Bioscience Education Electronic journal



## Take home messages

- You can do it, if you want to
- Actually, you are doing it already
  - It's not rocket science
- Your problems and solutions ARE of interest to others

How can I do what I do anyway to make it fundable/publishable?