

# SEMINAR ASSESSMENT

## Seminar Presentation

### Content (50 % )

Unstructured	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Structured
Unclear	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Message clear
Illogically organised	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Logical
Inappropriate for purpose	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Appropriate

### Approach (25 % )

Poor flow of information	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Good flow
No evidence of Teamwork	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Evidence

### Presentation (25 % )

Messy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Neat
Out of time limit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Within time

SEMINAR

COMMUNICATION

Visual aids	clear	-----	Confusing
Language	good	-----	poor
Voice	Interesting	-----	Boring
Interaction	Friendly	-----	Hostile

CONTENT

Structure	Structured	-----	Unstructured
Information	Appropriate	-----	Inappropriate
Understanding	Good	-----	Poor

# CASE STUDY PRESENTATION PEER ASSESSMENT

Group:

Excellent    Good    Satisfactory    Poor    Unsatisfactory

*Communication*

Visual Aids

Engagement with  
audience

*Structure:*

Intro/Aims

Main Points  
(Key points identified)

Linking Themes

Conclusion/  
Recommendation

Response to questions

---

Best features of presentation

Suggestions for improvement