Will you be using a TLRP ????

lan Hughes

LTSN Centre for Bioscience, University of Leeds, UK

i.e.hughes@leeds.ac.uk www.bio.ltsn.ac.uk

What's the first problem with courseware?

- Students simply provided with access to software over a network DON'T USE IT. Only 12% of students on a module actually accessed a piece of software associated with a module.
- This increased to:
- >40% when the software was demonstrated in class
- >70% when associated with a set task
- >90% when associated with an assessed task
 - There is a need to integrate software with the rest of the teaching material
 - Analogy with the laboratory class

What's the second problem ??

- It takes time to produce material to integrate software into courses
- Do teachers have the time to do this along with the other pressures we all feel?
- 86% of pharmacologists identified shortage of time and lack of recognition and reward from their institution as the prime reasons why they did not introduce innovative teaching methods into their teaching.

[TIPS 19, 257-262 (1998)]

What's the third problem ??

- A significant degree of experience and expertise is required to produce material to integrate software into courses
- Do all teachers have the expertise to do this?
- Attempts to integrate software into modules are often un-imaginative and do not enthuse students (e.g. look at the software and then answer these MCQ)
- Of 8 groups developing support material 6 found significant difficulty in thinking of types of innovative materials which integrated software into courses.
- All groups found devising methods for effective integration easier the second time around.

So, what solves the problems?

- A TLRP
- (teaching and learning resource pack)
- a pre-prepared package of editable materials supplying teachers with a choice of methods with which to integrate software packages into a course
 - an editable wrap-around for a software package

What do they contain?

- Prepared editable exercises and tasks complete with questions, answers, instructions, marking schedules,
 - everything you need -
- e.g. MCQ, EMSQ (questions, answers, explanations, instructions to set, provided stems)
- poster titles, web pages, crosswords, fill-ins, workbooks
- PBL tasks, guided scenarios, drug profiles, disease profiles
- essay titles, practical schedules and marking schedules, glossary construction, definitions......

Do they work for staff & students?

- TLRPs evaluated in
 - staff & students in <u>development</u> universities
 - staff & students in universities <u>external</u> to project
 - pre- and post-TLRP use questionnaires
 - structured interviews
 - knowledge tests
 - staff diaries/logs of development process

Evaluation - Results

- Students (data from 12 universities)
 - positive about using CAL/TLRP as adjunct to normal teaching rather than replacement
 - liked explicit learning objectives
 - some preferred CAL practical to the 'real thing'
 - some had concerns about loss of tutor contact
 - good scores in knowledge assessments
 - mean marks (% \pm SD, n); 76 \pm 13 (42); 53 \pm 16 (55); 58 \pm 7 (6); 61 \pm 13 (60)

Evaluation - Results

Staff (developing TLRPs)

- enjoyed collaboration with colleagues
- enjoyed the intellectual challenge
- each TLRP takes an average of 70h to put together
- the development time is shortened with experience

Staff (using TLRPs)

- need to use new teaching methods and student-centred learning
- very positive about the resulting teaching sessions
- TLRP made introducing CAL and new teaching methods easier
- big reduction in time needed to prepare materials:-----
 - Simulation; 8-10 hours ---> 2 hours
 - Problem based learning; 30 hours ---> 3 hours
 - Case study; 60 hours ---> 2 hours
 - Work book; 32 hours --->0.5 hours

The big test - are TLRPs useful to new users?

- Carried out in-depth interviews with 6 purchasers (first time users).
 - found out about them through BPS Bulletin, TLRP events good dissemination; LTSN Bioscience now also involved (knowledgebase)
 - all found them really helpful good example of how to use CAL e.g. good activities, ideas, assessments; good practical schedules; liked the glossaries
 - saved them considerable time several days work achieved in a few hours
 - stimulated them to write their own TLRPs for other CAL programs
 - would definitely choose future CAL programs if TLRP available

So, where are we going?

• 1994-1999 - development/production of CAL materials

21st century
integration/implementation of learning
resources in courses

- NOT just of CAL materials of all types of learning resources
 - NOT doing this individually but collaboratively
 - LTSN can support and facilitate this

Teaching and learning resource packs (TLRPs)

- Developed collaboratively to provide editable shared materials to introduce new teaching into a course with minimal time commitment from the teacher
 - Can you afford not to be using one?
 - Can you contribute to the development of one?
 - Your LTSN will support you (£££!)