

Scientific Enterprise and Enhancing the Student Learning Experience



Stefan Przyborski

School of Biological and Biomedical Science University of Durham



S.A.Przyborski School of Biological and Biomedical Science, University of Durham, UK

School of Biological and Biomedical Science, University of Durham

Degree routes offered*:	Number of Students:		
• Biology	50		
Cell biology	10		
• MBB	20		
Plant sciences	3		
• Zoology	40		
• Ecology	15		
Biomedicine	57		
Total:	195		

*involving final year project

Final Year Projects

- Laboratory-based projects aim to provide a high level of training in scientific approach and practical technique
- Such skills are especially useful for those wishing to pursue a career in science
- Practical training and laboratory skills are considered almost essential for those wishing to enter postgraduate study in biomedical research
- However, increased student numbers make it difficult to offer each student effective laboratory-based research projects
- Alternative methods to training in scientific technique?

Do all students require hands-on experience and training in laboratory-based techniques during their final year project?

• It is clear that not all science undergraduates students follow a career in laboratory science...





School of Biological and Biomedical Science, University of Durham

Third Year Taught Programme:

Modular course

- Lectures
- Practicals
- Field course
- Literature review
- Lab-based project
- Biology Enterprise Elective

	Leader	Biology	Cell Biology	Ecology	MBB	Plant Sciences	Zoology	HNS Cell	HNS Whole	MSci Biol & Chem
Adv. Biochemistry	TF		C(L)		C(L)	C(L)				C
Contemporary Issues in Ecology	SWL			C(P)					C(P)	
Behav. & Evolutionary Ecology	ND			С			С		С	<
Cell Signals & PT	MDW		С		С			С		C @ level
Conservation Biology	ARH	С		С		С	Opt Route A		C	
Crop Protection	JAG		C(L)		C(L)	C(L)				
Development 2	PNH	C (L)or(BE)	C(P)			C(P)	Opt Route B(L)	C(P)		
Exp. Cell and Mol. Biol.	RRDC									С
Field Course	Various	С		C		С	C			
Health & Environment	SWL	C(L)		C(L)			OptRoute A(L)			
Molecular & Cell Physiology	DH	С	С		С		OptRoute B	C		: -
Molecular Basis of Disease	MDW	C(P)	C(P)		C(P)		C(P)			С
Neurobiology	DH						C(L)			
Palaeoecology	BH			C(L)or (BE)		C(Lit)				

C:\Teaching\3H Module Composition 2004.htm C = Core Opt = Optional *Students take two of the three optional modules

Natural Science students will undertake a literature review and make a poster presentation

Single Honours students will undertake a literature review, project and make a poster presentation. Cell Biology will undertake two poster presentations.

(L) - Lab work module; (BE) - Biological Enterprise; (Lit) - Literature Search. No () also indicates Literature Search. These denote how these modules will be assessed.



Biology Enterprise Elective

Collaborative venture between the School of Biological and Biomedical Science and the Durham Business School

Aims:

- To study in depth a chosen topic in biological science
- To introduce science students to the key processes of business start-up
- To enhance students' enterprising skills and behaviours



Biology Enterprise Elective

- The Business Elective offers an alternative to the traditional laboratory-based project
- The module is partly project orientated and runs throughout the final year
- A structured lecture course is delivered throughout terms 1 and 2
- Involves both group work and independent learning activities
- Includes both group and individual assessments



Biology Enterprise Elective

Outcomes:

By the end of the module, students should be able to:

- Discuss in depth a chosen topic in biological science
- Understand how to plan for the launch of a new business venture
- Be aware of the challenges encountered in the launch process
- Demonstrate an understanding of the responses and strategies used to overcome these challenges
- Have developed / improved their enterprising skills, behaviours and attitudes



What is involved?

- Students generate an idea for a business opportunity that is based on a scientific discovery
 Students apply their knowledge and understanding of science to develop and research their idea into a technology that can be readily commercialised
 - The Business School teaches students the necessary skills and knowledge required to develop their idea into a successful business



School of Biological Science



Business School



What are students required to produce?





Team work





Team work

- Students form self selecting groups of between 5 and 6 people
- Group formation partly dependent on degree route, student relationships, and results from personality assessment
- Each group is assigned two mentors, one from Biology the other and from the Business School

For 2004/5:		
Business School:		Biological Sciences:
Mr Alaric Fairbanks	and	Dr Martin Watson
Mr Nik Grewer	and	Dr Chris Thomas
Mr Keith Herrmann	and	Dr Stefan Przyborski
Mr John O'Brien	and	Dr David Hyde
Ms Rachael Orange	and	Dr Arto Maatta
Mrs Krista Roberts	and	Dr Susan Pyner
Mr Jeremy Wright	and	Dr Tony Fawcett



Course content: early stage – weeks 1 to 5



Course content: mid stage – weeks 6 to 16



Course content: late stage – weeks 17 to 22



Timetable

Term and Dates	Time	Workshop and Seminar Stream Themes	Outputs	Content of Session	Staff	Duration
Term 1	I				1	
18.10.04	1515 - 1715	 Small Business and Enterprise: An Overview Elective Introduction The business start-up process Enterprising Competencies: personal and team analysis tools (Belbin, Myers-Briggs) 	 Provisional project groups formed 2 analysis tools completed Students able to use idea generation/creativity techniques 	Overview of elective Distribution of MBTI and Belbin questionnaires and the Business Start up Process	DB	2 hours
25.10.04	1515 - 1715	 2. Interpretation of Myers-Briggs results Formation of Project groups 	 Project groups established Individual understanding of analysis tools results Initial project idea outlined 	Analysis of MBTI and Belbin team roles Individual awareness of personal characteristics and preferred team roles	DB	2 hours
01.11.04	1515 - 1715	3. Ideas generation	 Initial project idea outlined Project groups established 	Tools and techniques used to generate business ideas	WP	2 hours
08.11.04	1515 - 1715	4. Testing the Market: How Do You Know There Is A Market For Your Idea?	 Understanding of different ways to research a market Ability to use market segmentation tools 	Market research techniques	JW	2 hour
15.11.04	1515 - 1715	5. <i>Meeting With Your Mentors</i> Personal and team SWOT Analysis	 Project idea confirmed Resource requirements for task completion clarified 	Discussion with Mentors concerning nature of the proposal and scientific basis of the project	All tutors	2 hours
22.11.04	1515 - 1715	6. The World of the Small Business (1): Insight from an Entrepreneur	 Contextualisation of projects in the commercial world Insight into motivations of entrepreneur 	Input and discussion with owner- manager	Ron Batty/ RO	2 hour



Additional Support

- Reading lists & recommended texts
- Durham University Online
- Web links and online sources
- External speakers
- Main University and Business School libraries
- Technology transfer office
- Enterprising academics (Spinout Companies)



Business Plan





S.A.Przyborski School of Biological and Biomedical Science, University of Durham, UK

Presentation



Investment Panel

- Panel membership
- All students contribute
- Individual and group assessment



Example Businesses

- **FIRST DIAGNOSTICS**
- MORAPEL
- LEDRELL INNOVATIONS
- BIOCLEAN TECH LTD
- DIAGNOSTIC INNOVATIONS LTD
- VIT-A-MAX LTD
- TISSUE TECHNOLOGIES

cholestrol self testing kit

oral insect repellent

diabetes breath tester

biodegradable chewing gum

rapid chlamydia test

vitamin and mineral assay kit

stem cell banking















- Patented & proven technology
- Developed by leading academic
- Established trails
- Breakeven within 1-2 years
- Personal risk incurred
- Require £60,000 initial investment



Summative Assessment



Extended essay on a subject within the business plan

Presentation to the Panel	10%
Formal presentation to a panel of experts.	
Both group and individual marks are awarded	



50 /0

Assessment of the Science

- Priority
- Appropriate coverage
- Sound understanding
- Critical assessment
- Scientific writing
- Quality

Class 00	Symbol	% Mark	Descriptor
I	A+ 90-100		Brilliant. Exhaustive coverage, almost exclusively based on a body of primary literature that is selected with near- faultless judgement. Seamless structure to the entire piece that reflects a clear logic, very well written and presented.
		75-89	Outstanding. Near comprehensive coverage of the topic - minor gaps are permitted if justified elsewhere. All arguments supported by primary references, almost all selected with a critical appreciation of their significance. Secondary references used for background information only. Logical structure and clearly written.
	А	70-74	Excellent. Broad coverage of the topic. Clear evidence of critical judgement in selection and evaluation of primary literature. Well written with good structure.
ll(i)	B++	65-69	Very Good. Good coverage of the topic. Evidence of critical assessment of the primary literature. Secondary sources are used only infrequently to support major points. Clear structure to the dissertation.
	B+	60-64	Good Reasonably good coverage of the topic. Some evidence of critical selection of primary literature.
II(ii)	В	55-59	Satisfactory All key points of the topic included. References are used appropriately. Dissertation must retain structure and relevance throughout.
	В-	50-54	Fair All Key points of the topic included, but one of the following defects may be present1.Some supporting references are inappropriate.2.Lacks clarity; does not retain relevance to the topic throughout03.Rather thin, which may be evidenced by repetition
	C+	45-49	Mediocre Either more than one of the defects listed above or one of the following 1.Some Key points missing 2.Supporting references poor 3.Significant errors of fact or understanding 4.Muddled; lacking cohesion and direction
	С	40-44	Poor More than one of the above defects.
Pass	D	35-39	Very Poor. A very thin submission that contains some work relevant to the topic under study, but with a large amount of irrelevant padding
Fail	E	0-34	Fail. Marks in this region are awarded on a sliding scale. At the upper end scripts barely fail to meet the criteria set for D (above), ranging to zero when the work contains nothing of relevance. Marks are awarded within this range for overall presentation, the odd relevant sentence in context, but little or nothing else to suggest knowledge or understanding.



Personal Development

Development of skills:

- Communication
- Presentation
- Team work
- Management
- Role play
- Organisation



Development of the Business Elective

- Feedback
- Reflective practice
- Promotion and recruitment



• Future expansion

