

	<b>School of Biomedical Sciences</b> <b>Module title, Module code</b>
	<b>Name of Student:</b>
	<b>Project Title:</b>
<b>Supervisor(s):</b>	

**Form 2 / 3: Project report/Scientific paper Assessment Sheet (first / second marker)**

<b>Aspect</b> (A mark must be given against each aspect)	<b>Comments</b> (must be completed for each section)	<b>Mark awarded</b>
<b>Abstract</b> Effectiveness of abstract: does abstract summarise the project work? Appropriate balance between background, aims, methods, results and conclusions.		/10
<b>Introduction</b> Depth of knowledge and clear understanding of background to project. Logical progression with aims clearly stated.		/10
<b>Methodology</b> Description of methods and scientific design clear and appropriate. Are the methods well laid out, easy to follow, and allow the reader the possibility of repeating the work outlined?		/15
<b>Presentation of results</b> Clear presentation of results? Inclusion of appropriate use of tables/figures? Correct use of units? Logical and structured presentation of data.		/15
<b>Discussion and Conclusion</b> Clear ability to analyse, interpret and critically discuss results. Draw reasoned conclusions from results. Evidence of ability to integrate project findings with previous work.		/35
<b>Literature references</b> Use of quality, up-to-date references which support introduction, project work, and discussion.		/5
<b>General presentation</b> Well structured presentation, logically structured using good written expression. Good written expression. Adherence to journal/format guidelines? <i>Note: a max. of 10% may be deducted for failure to adhere to journal/format guidelines</i>		/10
<b>General Comments</b>		<b>Total</b>

Name of assessor (print): ..... First / Second marker (*circle*)

Signature of assessor: ..... Date: .....