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#### Abstract

The First-Fit (or Grundy) chromatic number of $G$, written as $\chi_{F F}(G)$, is defined as the maximum number of classes in an ordered partition of $V(G)$ into independent sets so that each vertex has a neighbor in each set earlier than its own.

The well-known Nordhaus-Gaddum Inequality states that the sum of the ordinary chromatic numbers of an $n$-vertex graph and its complement is at most $n+1$. M. Zaker suggested finding the analogous inequality for the First-Fit chromatic number. We show for $n \geq 10$ that $\lfloor(5 n+2) / 4\rfloor$ is an upper bound, and this is sharp. We extend the problem for multicolorings as well and prove asymptotic results for infinitely many cases. We also show that the smallest order of $C_{4}$-free bipartite graphs with $\chi_{F F}(G)=k$ is asymptotically $2 k^{2}$ (the upper bound answers a problem of Zaker [9]).


## 1 Nordhaus-Gaddum for First-Fit chromatic number

A well known inequality [7] relating the chromatic number of an $n$-vertex graph and its complement is $\chi(G)+\chi\left(G^{c}\right) \leq n+1$. In fact $\operatorname{col}(G)+\operatorname{col}\left(G^{c}\right) \leq n+1$ also holds (see for example the proof in [1]) giving a stronger inequality, since $\chi(G) \leq \operatorname{col}(G)$. (Here $\operatorname{col}(G)=1+\max \{\delta(H): H \subseteq G\}$, the coloring number, see [3].) Zaker [8] suggested finding the analogous inequality for $\chi_{F F}(G)$, the Grundy or First-Fit chromatic number of $G$, defined as the maximum number of classes in an ordered partition of the vertex set of $G$ into independent sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}$ so that for each $1 \leq i<j \leq p$, and for each $x \in A_{j}$ there exists a $y \in A_{i}$ such that $x, y$ are adjacent. We shall refer to such an ordered partition $\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}\right\}$ of $V(G)$ as a First-Fit (or Grundy) partition. In case of $p=\chi_{F F}(G)$ we call $\mathcal{A}$ an optimal partition. Clearly, $\chi_{F F}(G)$ and $\operatorname{col}(G)$ are both between $\chi(G)$ and $\Delta(G)+1$, but they do not relate to each other.

It was conjectured in [8] that the Nordhaus-Gaddum inequality hardly changes for $\chi_{F F}(G)$, namely $\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right) \leq n+2$. The conjecture was proved for regular graphs and for certain bipartite graphs. We show that it holds for all bipartite graphs (Theorem 1) and it is also true for small graphs with $n \leq 8$ vertices. But it fails in general. In fact, the maximum of $\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right)$ over graphs of $n \geq 10$ vertices is $\left\lfloor\frac{5 n+2}{4}\right\rfloor$ (Corollary 4).

Theorem 1. For bipartite graphs $G=[X, Y]$ with $n$ vertices, $\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right) \leq n+2$.
Proof: Assume that $G=G[X, Y]$ is a bipartite graph and $\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are optimal partitions of $G$ and $G^{c}$, respectively. Each block of $\mathcal{B}$ spans a complete subgraph in $G$, therefore its size is at most two. Let $M=\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots B_{k}\right\}$ be the matching defined by the edges in $\mathcal{B}$, then $\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right)=n-k$. Observe that $Z=V(G) \backslash V(M)$ is an independent set in $G$.

We have to show that $\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right)=\chi_{F F}(G)+n-k \leq n+2$, i.e., that $\chi_{F F}(G) \leq$ $k+2$. Call a set $A_{i} \in \mathcal{A}$ type 1 if it has points from both $X$ and $Y$, moreover it has a nonempty intersection with $V(M)$.
Claim. $V(M) \cap X$ or $V(M) \cap Y$ intersects all type $1 A_{i}$-s.

Indeed, if not, there are $A_{i} \cap V(M) \subseteq X$ and $A_{j} \cap V(M) \subseteq Y$, say $i<j$. Since $A_{j}$ is type 1 , it has a vertex $x \in X$, and $x \notin V(M)$ from the assumption. From the property of the partition $\mathcal{A}, x$ must be adjacent to some vertex of $A_{i}$ but it is impossible (no edge from $x \in X$ to $A_{i} \cap V(M) \subseteq X$ since $G$ is bipartite, no edge from $x \notin V(M)$ to $A_{i} \backslash V(M)$ because $Z$ is independent) - proving the claim.

There are at most three $A_{i}$-s not of type 1 (exceptional), at most one that does not intersect $V(M)$, and at most two that intersect $V(M)$ but not both $X, Y$. If all the three are present, then - from the claim - either $V(M) \cap X$ or $V(M) \cap Y$ intersects all type 1 $A_{i}$-s and one exceptional $A_{i}$. Thus $k$ vertices intersect all but at most two $A_{i}$-s proving that $\chi_{F F}(G) \leq k+2$.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be any graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices, $F(G):=\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right)$. Then $F(G) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{5 n+2}{4}\right\rfloor$ for $n \geq 10, F(G) \leq n+2$ for $n \leq 8$ and $F(G) \leq n+3$ for $n=9$.

Proof: In the first part of the proof we establish an upper bound $4 F(G) \leq 5 n+5$. Then (using Lemma 2) we improve it to $5 n+4$. Then we show that either we can improve it further to $(5 n+2)$ or $F(G) \leq n+3$, finishing the case $n \geq 10$. Finally, we show that $n \leq 9$ and $F(G)=n+3$ imply $n=9$.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be optimal ordered partitions of $G$ and $G^{c}$, respectively. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ has $a_{1}$ sets of size one, $a_{2}$ sets of size two and $a_{3}$ sets of size at least three. Similarly, $\mathcal{B}$ has $b_{1}$ sets of size one, $b_{2}$ sets of size two and $b_{3}$ sets of size at least three. From the assumption, $\chi_{F F}(G)=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}, \chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right)=b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}$. From the definitions of $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ we have $\sum i a_{i} \leq n$ and $\sum i b_{i} \leq n$. To obtain precise upper bounds we write these inequalities in the following form, where $\varepsilon_{i} \geq 0$ is the excess.

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3} & =n-\varepsilon_{1},  \tag{1}\\
b_{1}+2 b_{2}+3 b_{3} & =n-\varepsilon_{2}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the singletons in the ordered partitions. We may suppose (eventually reorder) that they come last in the orderings. Observe that $K=\left\{v \in A_{i}:\left|A_{i}\right|=1\right\}$ spans a complete subgraph in $G$ and $L=\left\{v \in B_{j} \in \mathcal{B}:\left|B_{j}\right|=1\right\}$ spans an independent set in $G$. Thus $|K \cap L| \leq 1$. Note that $|K \cap L|=1$ implies $\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right) \leq n+1$. Indeed, if $\{x\}=K \cap L \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, then there is an edge from $x$ to each other member of $\mathcal{A}$, hence $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)+1$, and similarly $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G^{c}}(x)+1$. So from now on we may suppose that $K \cap L=\emptyset$.

Let $\alpha_{i}(i=2,3)$ be the number of two- and at least three-element blocks of $\mathcal{A}$ contained entirely in $L, \alpha=\sum \alpha_{i}$, and define similarly $\beta_{i}$ and $\beta$ for $\mathcal{B}$. We have

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3} \leq 1, \quad \beta=\beta_{2}+\beta_{3} \leq 1 .
$$

Classify the 2 -element blocks into 3 groups. There are $a_{2 t}$ of them meeting $L$ in exactly $t$ elements. Define $b_{2 t}$ analogously (i.e., the number of 2 -blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ meeting $K$ in $t$ points). We have

$$
a_{22}=\alpha_{2}, \quad a_{2}=a_{20}+a_{21}+a_{22}, \quad b_{22}=\beta_{2}, \quad b_{2}=b_{20}+b_{21}+b_{22}
$$

All but $\alpha$ blocks of $\mathcal{A}$ have points outside $L$, and (at least) $a_{20}$ of them have two (or more). We obtain that $|\mathcal{A}|-\alpha+a_{20} \leq n-|L|$. Again write this (and its analogue for $\mathcal{B}$ ) in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{20}+b_{1} & =n+\alpha-\varepsilon_{3},  \tag{3}\\
b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}+b_{20}+a_{1} & =n+\beta-\varepsilon_{4} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the $a_{21}$ two-element $\mathcal{A}$-sets $\left\{u, u^{\prime}\right\}$ that intersect $L$ in exactly one vertex, say $u \in L$ and $u^{\prime} \notin L$. Denote the set of these vertices $u \in L$ by $L_{1}$, and the set of vertices $u^{\prime} \notin L$ by $S$. Similarly, $K_{1}:=\left\{v \in K: \exists v^{\prime} \notin K\right.$ such that $\left.\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$, and $T:=\left\{v^{\prime} \notin K: \exists v \in K\right.$ such that $\left.\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$. We have

$$
|S|=a_{21}, \quad S \cap(K \cup L)=\emptyset, \quad|T|=b_{21}, \quad T \cap(K \cup L)=\emptyset .
$$

Lemma 1. $|S \cap T| \leq 1$.
Proof: Assume, on the contrary, that $x_{1}, x_{2} \in S \cap T$. This means that there are $u_{1}, u_{2} \in L$ such that the two-element blocks $\left\{u_{1}, x_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{2}, x_{2}\right\}$ belong to $\mathcal{A}$, and there are $v_{1}, v_{2} \in K$ such that $\left\{v_{1}, x_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{2}, x_{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}$. By definition we already know the status of 6 pairs from $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$, namely $x_{1} v_{1}, x_{2} v_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{2}$ are edges and $x_{1} u_{1}$, $x_{2} u_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{2}$ are non-edges. (See Figure 1.). Without loss of generality we may suppose that $x_{1} x_{2}$ is a non-edge (if it is, then replace $G$ with $G^{c}$ ). By symmetry (between $\left\{u_{1}, x_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{2}, x_{2}\right\}$ ), we may suppose that the order of these blocks is

$$
\left\{u_{1}, x_{1}\right\}<_{\mathcal{A}}\left\{u_{2}, x_{2}\right\}<_{\mathcal{A}}\left\{v_{1}\right\}<_{\mathcal{A}}\left\{v_{2}\right\} .
$$

Then the First-Fit requirements on $G$ between $\left\{u_{1}, x_{1}\right\}$ and $u_{2}$ implies $x_{1} u_{2} \in E(G)$, and $\left\{u_{1}, x_{1}\right\}<_{\mathcal{A}} x_{2}$ implies $x_{2} u_{1} \in E(G)$. Considering $G^{c}$ the block $\left\{v_{1}, x_{1}\right\}$ precedes $\left\{u_{2}\right\}$ implying $u_{2} v_{1} \in E\left(G^{c}\right)$ and the block $\left\{v_{2}, x_{2}\right\}$ precedes $\left\{u_{1}\right\}$ implying $u_{1} v_{2} \in E\left(G^{c}\right)$. Then $\left\{u_{1}, x_{1}\right\}<_{\mathcal{A}}\left\{v_{2}\right\}$ implies $x_{1} v_{2} \in E(G)$, and $\left\{u_{2}, x_{2}\right\}<_{\mathcal{A}}\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ implies $x_{2} v_{1} \in E(G)$. Then there are there are 3 edges joining $\left\{v_{1}, x_{1}\right\}$ to $\left\{v_{2}, x_{2}\right\}$, so no matter how they are ordered in $\mathcal{B}$, either $\left\{v_{1}, x_{1}\right\}$ and $v_{2}$ or $\left\{v_{2}, x_{2}\right\}$ and $v_{1}$ violate the First-Fit requirement in $\mathcal{B}$. Thus $|S \cap T| \geq 2$ is impossible, finishing the proof of the Lemma.


Figure 1. The proof of Lemma 1.
This Lemma is crucial, it shows that the sets $K, L, S, T$ are almost disjoint. Let $\gamma:=$ $|S \cap T|$, and denote by $n-\varepsilon_{5}$ the size of the union of these four sets. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|L \cup K|+|S \cup T|=a_{1}+b_{1}+a_{21}+b_{21}-\gamma=n-\varepsilon_{5} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Add the five equalities (1)-(5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right) & =5 n+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)+\left(\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}\right)-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \varepsilon_{i} \\
& =5 n+s
\end{aligned}
$$

with some integer $s$. Notice that $s \leq 5$ follows immediately from our assumptions. The rest of the proof is devoted to improve this upper bound.

## Improvements.

Lemma 2. If $\alpha>0$ then
(i) there is no block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $B \subset S$;
(ii) there is no block $B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subset K \cup S$ with $|B \cap S|=|B|-1$;
(iii) there is no block $A_{i} \in \mathcal{A}, A_{i} \subset T \cup L$ with $\left|A_{i} \cap T\right|=1$.

Especially, $\alpha>0$ implies $\gamma=0$.
Proof: Indeed, assume that $A_{j} \subset L$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$. The first two statements are based on the fact that $G\left[S, A_{j}\right]$ is a complete bipartite graph. Indeed, let $w \in A_{j}, y \in S$. Then there is a $u \in L$ such that $\{y, u\} \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $L$ is independent, the First-Fit requirement between $u$ and $A_{j}$ implies that $u$ (and its block $\{y, u\}$ ) precedes $A_{j}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Then there is an edge between $w$ and the block $\{y, u\}$, it should be $w y$.

Now suppose, on the contrary, that $B \subset S$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Take any element $w \in A_{j}$. In fact, $\{w\} \in \mathcal{B}$, too, and thus there must be a non-edge between $w$ and $B$, a contradiction.

To prove (ii) suppose, on the contrary, that $B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subset K \cup S$, and $B \cap K=\{v\}$. Since $\{w\} \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $w \in A_{j}$, there is a non-edge from $w$ to $B$, it should be $v w$. Consider $\{v\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A_{j}$. There should be an edge $v w, w \in A_{j}$, a contradiction.

To prove (iii) suppose $A_{i} \cap T=\{x\},\left(A_{i} \backslash\{x\}\right) \subset L$. Notice that $i<j$ otherwise $u \in A_{i} \cap L$ would violate the First-Fit requirement between $u$ and $A_{j}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Then there is an edge from $w \in A_{j}$ to the block $A_{i}$, from this $w x \in E(G)$ follows. By definition of $T$ there is a $v \in K$ such that $\{v, x\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Consider $\{w\}$ and $\{v, x\}$ in $\mathcal{B}, v w \in E\left(G^{c}\right)$ follows (for every $w \in A_{j}$ ). Then the First-Fit requirement on $G$ is violated between the blocks $A_{j}$ and $\{v\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Similar lemma is true for the case $\beta>0$, it also implies $\gamma=0$. Conversely, $\gamma=1$ implies $\alpha=\beta=0$, hence $s \leq 1$, and we are done. From now on, we suppose that $\gamma=0$, i.e., $S \cap T=\emptyset$, and $s \leq 4$.

We have $s \leq 2(\alpha+\beta)-\sum \varepsilon_{i}$. Hence $s \leq 2$ if $\alpha+\beta \leq 1$ or $\sum \varepsilon_{i} \geq 2$, and we are done. From now on, we suppose that $\alpha=1, \beta=1$ and $\sum \varepsilon_{i} \leq 1$. There exists a block $A^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}$, $A^{\prime} \subset L$ (naturally, it is disjoint to $L_{1}$ ), and there exists a block $B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}, B^{\prime} \subset K$ (and $\left.B^{\prime} \cap K_{1}=\emptyset\right)$. Let $E:=V(G) \backslash(K \cup L \cup S \cup T),|E|=\varepsilon_{5}$.

We claim that there is no block $A \in \mathcal{A}$ contained in $L \cup T$, other than $A^{\prime}$. (Similarly, there is no second $\mathcal{B}$-block in $K \cup S$.) Indeed, Lemma 2 (and its analogue for $\beta>0$ ) imply that such a block $A$ meets both $L$ and $T$, and it meets them in at least two-two vertices. If such an $A$ exists then $\varepsilon_{1} \geq 1$ in (1). Also, $A$ should be counted twice on the left-hand-side of (3), implying $\varepsilon_{3} \geq 1$. These contradict $\sum \varepsilon_{i} \leq 1$.

Consider the case $E=\emptyset$. Then there is no $\mathcal{A}$-block covering the points of $T$, so $T$ should be empty. Similarly, $S=\emptyset$ follows. Then $V(G)=K \cup L$, hence $F(G)=n+2$, and we are done.

The last case is when $E \neq \emptyset,|E|=1$ and $\varepsilon_{1}=\ldots=\varepsilon_{4}=0$. Let $A^{\prime \prime}$ be the $\mathcal{A}$-block covering $E$. There are no more $\mathcal{A}$-blocks in $T \cup\left(L \backslash L_{1}\right) \cup E$ so $|\mathcal{A}|=|K|+|S|+2$. Similarly, $E \in B^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $|\mathcal{B}|=|L|+|T|+2$ giving $F(G)=n+3$. Since $n+3 \leq(5 n+2) / 4$ we are done for $n \geq 10$.

Suppose that $n \leq 9$ and $F(G)=n+3$. We claim that $n=9$ follows, finishing the proof of the Theorem. Taking the following seven pairwise disjoint sets we get

$$
n \geq\left|A^{\prime}\right|+\left|B^{\prime}\right|+\left|A^{\prime \prime} \backslash E\right|+\left|K_{1}\right|+\left|B^{\prime \prime} \backslash E\right|+\left|L_{1}\right|+|E| .
$$

Here $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq 2,\left|B^{\prime}\right| \geq 2,|E|=1$. It is easy to see that $\left|A^{\prime \prime} \backslash E\right|+\left|K_{1}\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|B^{\prime \prime} \backslash E\right|+\left|L_{1}\right| \geq$ 2. Indeed, $K_{1}=\emptyset$ implies $T=\emptyset$ and $A^{\prime \prime} \subset L \cup E$. Since $E \notin S$ we get $\left|A^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 3$.

Lemma 3. There is graph $G_{9}$ with vertex set $\{1,2, \ldots, 9\}$ such that $\chi_{F F}\left(G_{9}\right)=\chi_{F F}\left(G_{9}^{c}\right)=$ 6. (See Figure 2).

The edges form a complete graph on $\{6,7,8,9\}$, the further edges are 14, 15, 18, 19, 27, 36, 38, 47, 49 and 58. Then $123|45| 6|7| 8 \mid 9$ and $198|76| 5|4| 3 \mid 2$ are grundy partitions for $G$ and its complement, respectively.


Figure 2.
Theorem 3. For all $k \geq 1$ there is a graph $G=G_{4 k+2}$ with $4 k+2$ vertices such that $\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right) \geq 5 k+3$.

Proof: The vertex set of $G$ consists of four disjoint sets $P, Q, R$ and $S$, such that $|P|=|Q|=k+1,|R|=|S|=k$. Label their elements as $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k+1}\right\}, Q=$ $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k+1}\right\}, R=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}\right\}$, and $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$. The ordered partition $\mathcal{A}$ on $V(G)$ is composed from $k$ triples $A_{i}=\left\{q_{i}, r_{i}, s_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ and singletons $A_{k+j}:=\left\{p_{j}\right\}$, $(1 \leq j \leq k+1)$ and finally $A_{2 k+2}=\left\{q_{k+1}\right\}$. The ordered partition $\mathcal{B}$ on $V\left(G^{c}\right)$ is composed
from $k+1$ pairs $B_{i}=\left\{p_{i}, q_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq k+1)$ and $2 k$ singletons of $R \cup S$ (in arbitrary order).


Figure 3. The graph $G^{4 k+2}$ with $\chi_{F F}(G)=2 k+2$ and $\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right)=3 k+1$.
We define edges and non-edges of $G$. Pairs within $A_{i}$-s are non-edges, pairs within $B_{i}$-s are edges. The pairs within $P$ are edges, the pairs within $R \cup S$ are non-edges. Notice that so far the choices were forced, it is not so in the sequel.

The set $\left\{p_{i}, q_{i}, r_{i}, s_{i}\right\}$ spans only a single edge, $p_{i} q_{i}$. The set $P$ spans a complete graph, $Q$ and $R \cup S$ are independent sets.

The spanned bipartite graph $G[P, Q]$ is a so-called half-graph (half complete bipartite) with edge-set $\left\{p_{i} q_{j}: i \leq j\right\} . G[P, R]$ is another half-graph, edges going into the another direction, its edge-set is $\left\{p_{i} r_{j}: j<i\right\} . G[P, S]$ has no edge, $E(G[Q, R]):=\left\{q_{i} r_{j}\right.$ : $i<j\}$, finally $G[Q, S]$ is a complete bipartite graph minus an almost perfect matching, $E(G[Q, S]):=\left\{q_{i} s_{j}: i \neq j\right\}$ (see Figure 3).

It is easy to check that the partition $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are greedy colorings of the graphs $G$ and $G^{c}$ implying the lower bound stated in the Theorem.

Observe that if a graph $H$ is extended to $H_{\text {new }}$ by adding a new vertex adjacent to all vertices of $H$, then $\chi_{F F}\left(H_{\text {new }}\right)=\chi_{F F}(H)+1$ and $\chi_{F F}\left(H_{\text {new }}^{c}\right)=\chi_{F F}\left(H^{c}\right)$. Applying this to $G_{4 k+2}$ three times, we get the graphs $G_{4 k+i}$ of $4 k+i$ vertices $(i=2,3,4,5)$ with

$$
\chi_{F F}\left(G_{4 k+i}\right)+\chi_{F F}\left(G_{4 k+i}^{c}\right) \geq 5 k+1+i .
$$

The path on four vertices shows $F(4) \geq 6$, using Lemma 3, Theorem 3 and combining them with Theorem 2 one obtains

Corollary 4. Let $F(n)=\max \left\{\chi_{F F}(G)+\chi_{F F}\left(G^{c}\right)\right\}$ over all $n$-vertex graphs. Then

$$
F(n)= \begin{cases}n+2 & \text { for } 4 \leq n \leq 8 \\ 12 & \text { for } n=9 \\ \lfloor(5 n+2) / 4\rfloor & \text { for } n \geq 10\end{cases}
$$

## 2 Nordhaus-Gaddum for many colors

The analogue of the Nordhaus-Gaddum inequality for multicolored graphs have been studied recently for many graph parameters in [2]. One of them, the Wilf-Szekeres number, or coloring number, has been investigated earlier in [4]. Here we give bounds on

$$
h(n, k):=\max \left\{\chi_{F F}\left(G_{1}\right)+\ldots+\chi_{F F}\left(G_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

where the maximum is taken over all partitions of $K_{n}$ into $k$ edge disjoint graphs $G_{i}$. In Section $1, h(n, 2)$ is determined exactly, but for the next case we know only that $h(n, 3) \geq$ $\frac{3 n}{2}$. Nevertheless, we determine $h(n, k)$ asymptotically for infinitely many fixed $k$ 's (for $k=5,13, \ldots$ ) and give bounds for every $n$ and $k$.

Theorem 5. For every $n$ and $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(n, k) \leq\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2 k-1}}{2}\right) n+\binom{k}{2}+k . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Consider an optimal decomposition of $K_{n}$, i.e. assume that $h(n, k)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{F F}\left(G_{i}\right)$ (where the $G_{i}$-s decompose $K_{n}$ ). Set $p_{i}=\chi_{F F}\left(G_{i}\right)$ and let $a_{i}$ denote the number of one-element classes in the First-Fit partition of $G_{i}$ into $\chi_{F F}\left(G_{i}\right)$ classes. Since one-element classes in $G_{i}$ must span a complete subgraph of color $i$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq a_{i} \leq p_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \leq n+\binom{k}{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the First-Fit property one can easily obtain that for each $i$,

$$
\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2\left(1+2+\ldots+p_{i}-a_{i}-1\right)+\left(p_{i}-a_{i}\right)+\ldots+\left(p_{i}-1\right)=\binom{p_{i}}{2}+\binom{p_{i}-a_{i}}{2}
$$

and summing that for $1 \leq i \leq k$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\binom{p_{i}}{2}+\binom{p_{i}-a_{i}}{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the notations $P:=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}, A:=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}, B:=n+\binom{k}{2}$ and assume $P \geq B+k$ (otherwise (6) trivially holds). Apply Jensen's inequality for the convex polynomial $\binom{x}{2}:=x(x-1) / 2$ and use the fact that $(P-A) / k+(P-B) / k \geq 1$. We get

$$
\binom{n}{2} \geq k\binom{P / k}{2}+k\binom{(P-A) / k}{2} \geq k\binom{P / k}{2}+k\binom{(P-B) / k}{2}
$$

Consequently, $0 \geq 2 P^{2}-2(B+k) P+\left(k B+k n+B^{2}-k n^{2}\right)$, and thus

$$
P \leq \frac{B+k}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2 k-1) n^{2}-2 k n+k^{2}-(B+n)(B-n)}
$$

and this easily gives the theorem.
The following two theorems give lower bounds for special values of $k$.

Theorem 6. Assume $k=2 q^{2}+2 q+1, k$ divides $n$ and $q=1$ or a power of a prime. Then

$$
\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2 k-1}}{2}\right) n=(q+1) n \leq h(n, k) .
$$

Proof: Let $m:=n / k$ and consider the disjoint $m$-sets $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k-1}$, their union is $V$, the vertex set of an $n$-vertex complete graph $K_{n}$.

Define a graph $G$ as follows. Its vertex set is $V(G):=\left(A_{0} \cup A_{1} \cup \ldots \cup A_{q}\right) \cup\left(B_{1} \cup \ldots \cup B_{q}\right)$, $\left|A_{i}\right|=\left|B_{j}\right|=m,|V(G)|=(2 q+1) m$ and its edge set consists of a complete graph on $A_{0}$ and $\binom{q+1}{2}$ complete bipartite graphs $K\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)$ and $\binom{q}{2}$ complete bipartite graphs of $K\left(B_{i}, A_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq q$, finally $G\left[A_{i}, B_{i}\right]$ is a complete bipartite graph minus a matching (of size $m$ ). Obviously, $\chi_{F F}(G)=(q+1) m$. We are going to decompose $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ into graphs $G_{0}, \ldots, G_{k-1}$ such that $\chi_{F F}\left(G_{i}\right)=(q+1) m$, and each $G_{i}$ is isomorphic to $G$ (apart from an uninteresting matching of size $q m$ ), and the defining sets $A_{0}, \ldots, B_{q}$ are selected from the $V_{i}$ 's.

Consider the graph $H$, the host graph of $G$, with vertex set $\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}\right\}$ with a complete graph of size $q+1$ on $\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{q}\right\}$ and additional edges $b_{i} a_{j}$ for $i \leq j$. The vertex $a_{0}$ is called the special vertex of $H$. $H$ has $q^{2}+q=(k-1) / 2$ edges. We claim that the complete graph $K_{k}$ with vertex set $\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}\right\}$ can be decomposed into $k$ edge-disjoint copies of $H, G=H_{0} \cup H_{1} \cup \ldots \cup H_{k-1}$, such that their special vertices are all distinct. Then replacing $v_{i}$ with $V_{i}$ the $t$-th copy $H_{t}$ naturally extends to $G_{t}$, a graph isomorphic to $G$. Finally, the $q m$ matching edges deleted in the definition of $G_{t}$ can get color $t+1$ (modulo $k$ ), (it is easy to see that adding this matching to $H_{t+1}$ does not decrease its $\chi_{F F}$-value) and we obtain the coloring of $K_{n}$ showing the lower bound in the Theorem.

We identify the vertices of $K_{k}$ with a vertex set of a regular $k$-gon (in cyclic order), or rather with the elements of the cyclic group $Z_{k}$, and call $\min \{|i-j|, k-|i-j|\}$ the length of the edge $v_{i} v_{j}$. Since $k$ is odd, the lengths are $1,2, \ldots,(k-1) / 2$. One can get the desired $H$-decomposition of $K_{k}$ if there exists a single embedding of $H$ into $K_{k}$ such that all edges of $H$ has different lengths. The further $k-1$ copies of $H$ are obtained by rotations (see Figure 4). We finish the proof by showing such an embedding of $H$.


Figure 4. Cyclic $k$-colorings for $k=5(q=1)$ and $k=13(q=2)$.
Singer proved in 1938 (see, e.g., in the textbook [5]) that a $\left(q^{2}+q+1, q+1,1\right)$ difference set exists if and only if $q=1$ or it is a power of a prime. It means that there exists a set
$D \subset\left\{0,1,2, \ldots, q^{2}+q\right\}, D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{q+1}\right\}$ such that all the differences $d_{i}-d_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ are non-zero and are different modulo $q^{2}+q+1$. Suppose $d_{1}<d_{2}<\ldots<d_{q+1}$. Let $a_{i}:=d_{i+1}(0 \leq i \leq q)$ and $b_{i}:=\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)+d_{i}(1 \leq i \leq q)$. Then the lengths between the $a$ 's are $\left\{d_{j}-d_{i}: j>i\right\}$ and the length of $b_{i} a_{j}(i \leq j)$ is $\left(q^{2}+q+1+d_{i}\right)-d_{j+1}$ (now $i<j+1$ ). These lengths are all distinct, since they are distinct modulo $q^{2}+q+1$.
Corollary 7. $h(n, 5)=2 n+O(1), h(n, 13)=3 n+O(1)$, and for every prime power $q$

$$
h\left(n, 2 q^{2}+2 q+1\right)=(q+1) n+O\left(q^{4}\right) .
$$

Theorem 8. Assume $k=2\left(q^{2}+q+1\right),\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ divides $n$ and a projective plane of order $q$ exists. Then

$$
\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2 k-3}}{2}\right) n=(q+1) n \leq h(n, k) .
$$

It seems that Theorem 8 is weaker than Theorem 6, it gives the same lower bound using one more color and it is indeed so if $q$ is a power of a prime; however, although widely believed otherwise, there might exist some projective plane of order $q$ that is not a power of a prime.

Proof: For an arbitrary positive integer $m$ set $n=m\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$ and define a $k$-colored complete graph $K_{n}$ as follows. Consider disjoint $m$-sets $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{q^{2}+q+1}$, their union is the vertex set of $K_{n}$. Consider a finite plane of order $q$ with point set $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{q^{2}+q+1}$ and line set $L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{q^{2}+q+1}$. The König-Hall condition is satisfied for the projective plane, there exists a system of distinct representatives, i.e., we may suppose that $V_{t} \in L_{t}$.

Take a fixed line $L_{t}$ and denote its points by $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{q+1}$. These $A_{i}$ 's are actually $m$-sets, and suppose that $A_{q+1}=V_{t}$. We associate two colors to $L_{t}$, colors $2 t-1$ and $2 t$ and color some edges of $K_{n}$ contained in $L_{t}$ with these colors. For any odd $i<q+1$ color all edges except a one-factor of $\left[A_{i}, A_{i+1}\right]$ with color $2 t-1$. The $m$ edges of the one-factor are colored with color $2 t$. For all $j$ such that $i+1<j \leq q+1$, color also with color $2 t-1$ all edges of $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]$. Similarly, for any even $i<q+1$, color all edges except a one-factor of $\left[A_{i}, A_{i+1}\right]$ with color $2 t$. The $m$ edges of the one-factor can be colored with color $2 t-1$. For all $j$ such that $i+1<j \leq q+1$, color also with color $2 t$ all edges of $\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]$. Finally, the edges within $A_{q+1}$ also colored by $2 t$ if $q$ is even and they are colored by $2 t-1$ if $q$ is odd. It is easy to check that for the graphs $G_{2 t-1}, G_{2 t}$ with edges colored with $2 t-1,2 t$ respectively, $\chi_{F F}\left(G_{2 t-1}\right)=\lceil(q+1) / 2\rceil m$ and $\chi_{F F}\left(G_{2 t}\right)=\lceil q / 2\rceil m$. This gives a $k$-coloring of $K_{n}$ and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \chi_{F F}\left(G_{j}\right)=\frac{k}{2}(q+1) m=(q+1) n
$$

and the result follows by expressing $q$ as a function of $k$.
Combining our results above and using the existence of primes between $m$ and $m+\mathrm{cm}^{2 / 3}$, one can easily get
Corollary 9. There exists a $c>0$ such that for every $n$ and $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} n-c k^{1 / 3} n-k^{2}<h(n, k)<\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2 k-1}}{2}\right) n+\binom{k}{2}+k . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The smallest $C_{4}$-free bipartite graph with $\chi_{F F}(G)=k$

It is well known that for every $k$ there are bipartite graphs satisfying $\chi_{F F}(G)=k$, the standard example is obtained from $K_{k, k}$ by removing a perfect matching from it. It is also possible that such a graph has arbitrary large girth, since there are trees with that property, the smallest well-known example is the rooted tree $T_{k}$ defined recursively by joining the roots of two distinct copies of $T_{k-1}$ and keeping one of the two roots as the new root. Clearly, $T_{k}$ has $2^{k-1}$ vertices. In the light of these two extreme examples, it is natural to ask about $c_{4}(k)$, the smallest order of a $C_{4}$-free bipartite graph $G$ with $\chi_{F F}(G)=k$. It is easy to obtain that $c_{4}(k) \geq(k-1)(k-2)+2$ and some experience with small graphs show that this is sharp for $2 \leq k \leq 7$ (the proof of this is left to the reader). However, the next theorem shows that the coefficient of $k^{2}$ in $c_{4}(k)$ is two. The upper bound of Theorem 10 answers positively the following problem posed by Zaker in [9]: is it true that $\rho(n)=\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ where

$$
\rho(n)=\max \left\{\frac{\chi_{F F}(G)}{\chi(G)}:|V(G)|=n, \operatorname{girth}(G) \geq 5\right\} .
$$

## Theorem 10.

(i) $c_{4}(k) \leq 2 k^{2}$ if there exists a projective plane of order $k$,
(ii) $c_{4}(k) \leq 2 k^{2}-2 k^{3 / 2}+2 k$ if $\sqrt{k}$ is a prime power,
(iii) $2 k^{2}-8 k^{5 / 3} \leq c_{4}(k)$.

Proof: To prove the upper bound (i), let $G=[P, L]$ be the bipartite graph defined by the incidences of the points and lines of an affine plane of order $k$. Let $L_{i}$ denote the $i$ 'th parallel class of lines, $i=0,1, \ldots, k$. The lines of $L_{0}$ partition $P$ into $P_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, k$, $\left|P_{i}\right|=k$. Set $A_{i}=P_{i} \cup L_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Consider the bipartite graph $G^{*}$ obtained from $G$ by removing the vertices of $L_{0}$ and all edges within each $A_{i}$. Then $G^{*}$ is a bipartite graph with $k^{2}$ vertices in its color classes, $G^{*}$ is $C_{4}$-free and each $A_{i}$ spans an independent set in $G^{*}$. Moreover, for every $i \neq j$ and for every $v \in A_{j}$ there is (exactly) one vertex of $A_{i}$ adjacent to $v$. Thus the sets $A_{i}$ give a First-Fit partition on $G^{*}$.

To prove the upper bound (ii) we note that a somewhat smaller graph can be obtained by selecting initially only one vertex $v_{k}$ from $A_{k}$. We are going to use the fact that a Desarguesian affine plane of square order $k$ contains a Baer subplane (see, e.g., in [5]). It means, that there exists a subset of vertices $B,|B|=k$, and $\sqrt{k}+1$ special parallel classes such that (1) every line not in a special class meets $B$ in exactly one point, (2) every line in a special class meets $B$ in either $\sqrt{k}$ points or avoids it. Suppose that the special parallel classes are $L_{0}$ and $L_{k-\sqrt{k}+1}, \ldots, L_{k-1}, L_{k}$, and the lines of $L_{0}$ are also ordered such a way that $\left|P_{i} \cap B\right|=\sqrt{k}$ for $i>k-\sqrt{k}$. The bipartite graph showing the upper bound (ii) is obtained from $G^{*}$ defined in section (i), such that for $i>k-\sqrt{k}$ one keeps only the lines meeting $B$, and the points $P_{i} \cap B$.

To prove the lower bound (iii), let $G$ be a $C_{4}$-free bipartite graph with $\chi_{F F}(G)=k$. Consider a First-Fit coloring of $G$ with $k$ colors and let $f(x)$ denote the color of $x \in V=$ $V(G)$. Using the First-Fit rule and that $G$ is bipartite without $C_{4}$, it follows easily that
the following procedure builds an induced two-level tree $T$ in $G$. The root of $T$ is a vertex $x \in V$ with $f(x)=k$. Level one of $T$ is defined by selecting vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1}$ where each $y_{i}$ is adjacent to the root and $f\left(y_{i}\right)=i$. Level two of $G$ is defined by selecting for each $i, i=2,3, \ldots, k-1$ vertices $z_{i, 1}, z_{i, 2}, \ldots, z_{i, i-1}$ adjacent to $y_{i}$ such that $f\left(z_{i, j}\right)=j$ (for $1 \leq j \leq i-1)$. Note that $|V(T)|=\binom{k}{2}+1$.

For a suitable $r$ defined later, an $r$-uniform hypergraph $H_{r}$ is defined as follows. For each $i, j$ such that $k-2 \geq i-1 \geq j \geq r+1$ a hyperedge $E_{i j}=\left\{v_{i j 1}, v_{i j 2}, \ldots, v_{i j r}\right\}$ is defined by selecting $v_{i j l} \in V \backslash V(T)$ adjacent to $z_{i, j}$ and $f\left(v_{i j l}\right)=l$ for $1 \leq l \leq r$. These vertices exist by the First-Fit property and any two distinct hyperedges intersect in at most one vertex since $G$ has no $C_{4}$. There are $m=1+2+\ldots(k-r-2)=\binom{k-r-1}{2}$ edges in $H_{r}$. It is known that any hypergraph of $m$ edges of sizes at least $r$ and pairwise intersections at most one has at least $\frac{r^{2} m}{r+m-1}$ vertices (Exercise 13.13 in [6]). Therefore $W$, the vertex set covered by the hyperedges satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|W| \geq \frac{r^{2} m}{r+m-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $r=k-k^{2 / 3}$ one gets a lower bound $k^{2}-4 k^{5 / 3}$ for $|W|$. Notice that if $x$, the root of $T$ is in the first partite class of the bipartite graph $G$ then any vertex in $W$ covered by an edge of $H_{r}$ is in the second partite class of $G$. This observation allows to select $y_{k-1}$ in the role of $x$ and repeat the same argument to get a $k^{2}-4 k^{5 / 3}$ lower bound for the first partite class of $G$. This gives the claimed lower bound on $c_{4}(k)$.
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