

Pain Assessment

Table 4.

Table 4: Selected Multidimensional Pain Instruments¹

Adapted from Puntillo, K.A. & Wilkie, D.J. (1991) Assessment of pain in the critically ill. In K. A. Puntillo (ed.) *Pain in the Critically Ill: Assessment and Management* (pp. 56-57). Gaithersberg, MD: Aspen Reprinted with permission.

Memorial Pain Assessment Card

- *Population:* Hospitalized adult cancer patients without severe physical or cognitive impairments
- *Authors:* Fishman et. al^2
- Description:
 - 8.5"x11 card folded in half
 - 3 sides have VASs to measure relief, intensity, mood
 - 4th side has randomly placed set of pain intensity words
- *Dimensions measured:* sensory, affective (general psychological distress; may not be pain related)
- Validity: construct, correlation with McGill Pain Questionnaire and among subscales
- *Reliability:* not reported
- *Completion time:* >20 seconds for experienced patient
- Scoring Methods:
 - Patient marks VASs
 - response measured by clinician
 - pain intensity words circled

Pain-O-Meter

- Population: Labor, post-operative, cancer, chronic pain
- Authors: Gaston-Johansson & Ashland-Gustaffsson;³ Gaston-Johansson, Fridh, & Turner-Novell; ⁴ Gaston-Johansson⁵
- Description:
 - plastic 8" x 2" x 1" card
 - side 1 has 11 affective words
 - side 2 has 10-cm VAS
- Dimensions measured: sensory, affective
- *Validity:* construct
- *Reliability:* test-retest

Page 1

C:\Program Files\Adobe\Acrobat 4.0\Acrobat\plug_ins\OpenAll\Transform\temp\Table 4 Pain Assessment

Tools.doc

©2001 D.J. Wilkie & TNEEL Investigators

- *Completion time:* >2 minutes
- Scoring Methods: sensory and affective words assigned numbers 1-5

Pain Assessment Tool

- *Population:* Chronic
- *Author:* McGuire⁶
- *Description:*
 - one page
 - includes demographic information; questions about intensity, location, quality, onset, patient's view
 - area to document intervention plan
- Dimensions measured: sensory, behavioral, physiological
- Validity: not reported
- *Reliability:* not reported
- *Completion time:* 10-15 minutes
- Scoring methods:
 - patient points to or traces area of pain
 - uses own words to describe pain
 - rates intensity of pain on 0-10 number scale
 - answers series of questions about onset, alleviation, aggravation of pain

Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire

- Population: Postoperative, obstetric, dental, musculoskeletal
- *Author:* Melzak⁷
- Description:
 - one page
 - includes 11 sensory and 4 affective word descriptors
 - verbal intensity scale
 - VAS
- Dimensions measured: sensory, affective
- *Validity:* concurrent
- *Reliability:* not reported
- *Completion time:* 2-5 minutes
- Scoring methods:
 - words are read to patient, who selects as many as describe pain
 - intensity of each quality of pain (word) is rated by patient (none, mild, moderate, severe)
 - patient selects word to describe intensity of pain and marks VAS

Melzak-McGill Pain Questionnaire

- Population: Acute, chronic, cancer, experimental
- Author: Mount, Melzak & Mackinnon⁸; Melzak & Torgerson⁹; Melzak¹⁰; Dubuisson & Melzak¹¹; Turk, Rudy & Salorvey¹²; Graham, Bond, Gerkovich & Cook¹³; Chen & Treede¹⁴

Page 2

 $\label{eq:c:Program Files} Adobe\\ Acrobat 4.0\\ Acrobat\\ plug_ins\\ OpenAll\\ Transform\\ temp\\ Table 4 Pain Assessment Tools.doc$

©2001 D.J. Wilkie & TNEEL Investigators

- Description:
 - one page
 - includes 78 word desciptors in 20 categories
 - 3 pattern words
 - body outline
 - associated symptoms (e.g., sleep, food, activity)
 - intensity scale
- Dimensions measured: sensory, affective, psych9ological, cognitive, behavioral
- Validity: content, concurrent, predictive, construct
- *Reliability:* test-retest
- *Completion time:* >15 minutes
- Scoring methods:
 - words are read to patient who selects no more than one word/group
 - predetermined rank values of sensory, affective, evaluative, miscellaneous words summed for subscale scores
 - patient marks body outline and picks intensity word (scored 1-5)

Pain Perception Profile

- *Population:* Chronic headache, experimental
- *Author:* Tursky¹⁵; Tursky, Jamner & Friedman¹⁶
- Description:
 - 4-page protocol
 - part III may be useful in critical care
 - includes 3-column word list with 12 intensity, 12 unpleasantness; 13 feeling descriptors that have been quantified by means of scaling techniques
- Dimensions measured: sensory, affective
- Validity: content, concurrent
- *Reliability:* stable
- *Completion time:* not reported
- Scoring methods:
 - patient selects one word per group
 - score based on a priori value assigned to word

References:

- 1. Puntillo, K. A., & Wilkie, D. J. (1991). Assessment of pain in the critically ill. In K. A. Puntillo (Ed.), *Pain in the critically ill: Assessment and management* (pp. 45-64). Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publishers.
- 2. Fishman, B., Pasternak, S., Wallenstein, S., Houde, R., Holland, J., & Foley, K. (1987). The memorial pain assessment card: A valid instrument for the evaluation of cancer pain. *Cancer*, *60*, 1151-1158.
- 3. Gaston-Johansson, F., & Asklund-Gustafsson, M. (1985). A baseline study for the development of an instrument for the assessment of pain. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *10*, 539-546.

Tools.doc

©2001 D.J. Wilkie & TNEEL Investigators

- 4. Gaston-Johansson, F., Fridh, G., & Turner-Norvall, K. (1988). Progression of labor pain in primiparas and multiparas. *Nursing Research*, *37*, 86-90.
- 5. Gaston-Johansson, F. (1988). Personal communication.
- 6. McGuire, L. (1981). A short simple tool for assessing your patient's pain. *Nursing '81, 11*, 48-49.
- 7. Melzack, R. (1987). The short form McGill Pain Questionnaire. Pain, 30, 191-197.
- 8. Mount, B., Melzack, R., & Mackinnon, K. (1978). The management of intractable pain in patients with advanced malignant disease. *Journal of Urology*, *120*, 720-725.
- 9. Melzack, R., & Torgerson, W. (1971). On the language of pain. *Anesthesiology*, *34*, 50-59.
- 10. Melzack, R. (1975). The McGill Pain Questionnaire: Major properties and scoring methods. *Pain*, *1*, 277-299.
- 11. Dubuisson, D., & Melzak, R. (1976). Classification of clinical pain descriptions by multiple group discriminant analysis. *Experimental Neurology*, *51*, 480-487.
- 12. Turk, D., Rudy, T., & Salovey, P. (1985). The McGill Pain Questionnaire reconsidered: Confirming the factor structure and examining appropriate uses. *Pain*, *21*, 385-397.
- 13. Graham, C., Bond, S., Gerkovich, M., & Cook, M. (1980). Use of the McGill Pain Questionnaire in the assessment of cancer pain: Replicability and consistency. *Pain*, *8*, 377-387.
- 14. Chen, A., & Treede, R. (1985). The McGill Pain Questionnaire in the assessment of basic and tonic experimental pain: Behavioral evaluation of the "pain inhibiting pain" effect. *Pain*, 22, 67-79.
- 15. Tursky, B. (1976). Development of pain perception profile. In M. Weisenberg &B. Tursky (Eds.), *Pain: New perspectives in therapy and research* (pp. 171-174). New York: Plenum.
- 16. Tursky, B., Jamner, L., & Friedman, R. (1982). The pain perception profile: A psychophysical approach to the assessment of pain report. *Behavior Therapy*, *13*, 376-394.