

Class Clusters

The Foundation Framework's architecture makes extensive use of class clusters. Class clusters group a number of private, concrete subclasses under a public, abstract superclass. The grouping of classes in this way simplifies the publicly visible architecture of an object-oriented framework without reducing its functional richness.

Simple Concept, Complex Interface

To illustrate the class cluster architecture and its benefits, consider the problem of constructing a class hierarchy that defines objects to store numbers of different types (**chars**, **ints**, **floats**, **doubles**). Since numbers of different types have many features in common (they can be converted from one type to another and can be represented as strings, for example), they could be represented by a single class. However, their storage requirements differ, so it's inefficient to represent them all by the same class. This suggests the following architecture:

cluster1.eps ↪

Figure 1-1. A Simple Hierarchy for Number Classes

Number is the abstract superclass that declares in its methods the operations common to its subclasses. However, it doesn't declare an instance variable to store a number. The subclasses declare such instance variables and share in the programmatic interface declared by Number.

So far, this design is relatively simple. However, if the commonly used modifications of these basic C types are taken into account, the diagram looks more like this:

cluster2.eps ↪

Figure 1-2. A More Complete Number Class Hierarchy

The simple concept of creating a class to hold number values can easily burgeon to over a dozen classes. The class cluster architecture presents a design that reflects the simplicity of the concept.

Simple Concept, Simple Interface

Applying the class cluster design to this problem yields the following hierarchy (private classes are in gray):

cluster3.eps ↪

Figure 1-3. Class Cluster Architecture Applied to Number Classes

Users of this hierarchy see only one public class, Number, so how is it possible to allocate instances of the proper subclass? The answer is in the way the abstract superclass handles instantiation.

Creating Instances

The abstract superclass in a class cluster must declare methods for creating instances of its private subclasses. It's the superclass's responsibility to dispense an object of the proper subclass based on the creation method that you invoke—you don't, and can't, choose the class of the instance.

In the Foundation Framework, you generally create an object by invoking a **+className...** method or the **alloc...** and **init...** methods. Taking the Foundation Framework's NSNumber class as an example, you could send these messages to create number objects:

```
NSNumber *aChar = [NSNumber numberWithInt:'a'];
NSNumber *anInt = [NSNumber numberWithInt:1];
NSNumber *aFloat = [NSNumber numberWithFloat:1.0];
NSNumber *aDouble = [NSNumber numberWithDouble:1.0];
```

(This style of instantiation creates objects that will be deallocated automatically—See “Object Ownership and Automatic Disposal” for more information. Many classes also provide the standard **alloc...** and **init...** methods to create objects that require you to manage their deallocation.)

Each object returned—*aChar*, *anInt*, *aFloat*, and *aDouble*—may belong to a different private subclass (and in fact does). Although each object's class membership is hidden, its interface is public, being the interface declared by the abstract superclass, *NSNumber*. Although it is not precisely correct, it's convenient to consider the *aChar*, *anInt*, *aFloat*, and *aDouble* objects to be instances of the *NSNumber* class, since they're created by *NSNumber* class methods and accessed through instance method declared by *NSNumber*.

Class Clusters With Multiple Public Superclasses

In the example above, one abstract public class declares the interface for multiple private subclasses. This is a class cluster in the purest sense. It's also possible, and often desirable, to have two (or possibly more) abstract public classes that declare the interface for the cluster. This is evident in the Foundation Framework, which includes these clusters:

Class Cluster	Public Superclasses
TableHeadRule.eps →	
NSData	NSData, NSMutableData TableRule.eps →
NSArray	NSArray, NSMutableArray 425514_TableRule.eps →
NSDictionary	NSDictionary, NSMutableDictionary 555593_TableRule.eps →
NSString	NSString, NSMutableString 664308_TableRule.eps →

Other clusters of this type also exist, but these clearly illustrate how two abstract nodes cooperate in declaring the programmatic interface to a class cluster. In each of these clusters, one public node declares methods that all cluster objects can respond to, and the other node declares methods that are only appropriate for cluster objects that allow their contents to be modified.

This factoring of the cluster's interface helps make an object-oriented framework's programmatic interface

more expressive. For example, imagine a Book object that declares this method:

```
- (NSString *)title;
```

The book object could return its own instance variable or create a new string object and return that. It doesn't matter. It's clear from this declaration that the returned string can't be modified. Any attempt to modify the returned object will elicit a compiler warning.

Creating Subclasses Within a Class Cluster

The class cluster architecture involves a trade-off between simplicity and extensibility: Having a few public classes stand in for a multitude of private ones makes it easier to learn and use the classes in a framework but somewhat harder to create subclasses within any of the clusters. However, if it's rarely necessary to create a subclass, then the cluster architecture is clearly beneficial. Clusters are used in the Foundation Framework in just these situations.

If you find that a cluster doesn't provide the functionality your program needs, then a subclass may be in order. For example, imagine that you want to create a array object whose storage is file-based rather than memory-based as in the NSArray class cluster. Since you are changing the underlying storage mechanism of the class, you'd have to create a subclass.

On the other hand, in some cases it might be sufficient (and easier) to define a class that embeds within it an object from the cluster. Let's say that your program needs to be alerted whenever some data is modified. In this case, creating a simple cover for a data object that the Foundation Framework defines may be the best approach. An object of this class could intervene in messages that modify the data, intercepting the messages, acting on them, and then forwarding them to the embedded data object.

In summary, if you need to manage your object's storage, create a true subclass. Otherwise, create a composite object, one that embeds a standard Foundation Framework object in an object of your own design. The sections below give more detail on these two approaches.

A True Subclass

A new class that you create within a class cluster must:

- Be a subclass of the cluster's abstract superclass
- Declare its own storage
- Override the superclass's primitive methods (described below)

Since the cluster's abstract superclass is the only publicly visible node in the cluster's hierarchy, the first point is obvious. This implies that the new subclass will inherit the cluster's interface but no instance variables, since the abstract superclass declares none. Thus the second point: The subclass must declare any instance variables it needs. Finally, the subclass must override any method it inherits that directly accesses an object's instance variables. Such methods are called *primitive methods*.

A class's primitive methods form the basis for its interface. For example, take the NSArray class, which declares the interface to objects that manage arrays of objects. In concept, an array stores a number of data items, each of which is accessible by index. NSArray expresses this abstract notion through its two primitive methods, **count** and **objectAtIndex:**. With these methods as a base, other methods—*derived methods*—can be implemented, for example:

Derived Method	Possible Implementation
----------------	-------------------------

856082_TableHeadRule.eps ↵	
----------------------------	--

lastObject	Find the last object by sending the array object this message: [self objectAtIndex:[self count] -1].
------------	--

981819_TableRule.eps ↵	
------------------------	--

containsObject:	Find an object by repeatedly sending the array object an objectAtIndex: message, each time incrementing the index until all objects in the array have been tested.
-----------------	---

101507_TableRule.eps ↵	
------------------------	--

The division of an interface between primitive and derived methods makes creating subclasses easier. Your subclass must override inherited primitives, but having done so can be sure that all derived methods that it inherits will operate properly.

The primitive-derived distinction applies to the interface of a fully initialized object. The question of how **init...** methods should be handled in a subclass also needs to be addressed.

In general, a cluster's abstract superclass declares a number of **init...** and **+ *className*** methods. As described in ^aCreating Instances^o above, the abstract class decides which concrete subclass to instantiate based your choice of **init...** or **+ *className*** method. You can consider that the abstract class declares these methods for the convenience of the subclass. Since the abstract class has no instance variables, it has no need of initialization methods.

Your subclass should declare its own **init...** (if it needs to initialize its instance variables) and possibly **+ *className*** methods. It should not rely on any of those that it inherits. To maintain its link in the initialization chain, it should invoke its superclass's designated initializer within its own designated initializer method. (See the *Object-Oriented Programming and the Objective-C Language* manual for a discussion of the designated initializers.) Within a class cluster, the designated initializer of the abstract superclass is always **init**.

True Subclasses: An Example

An example will help clarify the foregoing discussion. Let's say that you want to create a subclass of NSArray, named MonthArray, that returns the name of a month given its index position. However, a MonthArray object won't actually store the array of month names as an instance variable. Instead, the method that returns a name given an index position (**objectAtIndex:**) will return constant strings. Thus, only twelve string objects will be allocated, no matter how many MonthArray objects exist in an application.

The MonthArray class is declared as:

```
#import <foundation/foundation.h>
@interface MonthArray : NSArray
{
}

+ sharedMonthArray;
- (unsigned)count;
- objectAtIndex:(unsigned)index;

@end
```

Note that the `MonthArray` class doesn't declare an **init...** method since it has no instance variables to initialize. The **count** and **objectAtIndex:** methods simply cover the inherited primitive methods, as described above.

The implementation of the `MonthArray` class looks like this:

```
#import "MonthArray.h"

@implementation MonthArray

static MonthArray *sharedMonthArray = nil;
static NSString *months[] = { @"January", @"February", @"March",
    @"April", @"May", @"June", @"July", @"August", @"September",
    @"October", @"November", @"December" };

+ monthArray
{
    if (!sharedMonthArray) {
        sharedMonthArray = [[MonthArray alloc] init];
    }
    return sharedMonthArray;
}

- (unsigned)count
{
    return 12;
}

- objectAtIndex:(unsigned)index
{
    if (index >= [self count])
        [NSException raise:NSRangeException format:@"***%s: index
            (%d) beyond bounds (%d)", sel_getName(_cmd), index,
            [self count] - 1];
    else
        return months[index];
}
```

```
}
```

```
@end
```

Since `MonthArray` overrides the inherited primitive methods, the derived methods that it inherits will work properly without being overridden. `NSArray`'s **`lastObject`**, **`containsObject:`**, **`sortedArrayUsingSelector:`**, **`objectEnumerator`**, and other methods work without problems for `MonthArray` objects.

A Composite Object

By embedding a private cluster object in an object of your own design, you create a composite object. This composite object can rely on the cluster object for its basic functionality, only intercepting messages that it wants to handle in some particular way. Using this approach reduces the amount of code you must write and lets you take advantage of the tested code provided by the Foundation Framework.

A composite object can be viewed in this way:

`compositeObject.eps` ↪

Figure 1-4. Embedding a Cluster Object

The composite object must declare itself to be a subclass of the cluster's abstract node. As a subclass, it must override the superclass's primitive methods. It can also override derived methods, but this isn't necessary since the derived methods work through the primitive ones.

Using `NSArray`'s **`count`** method as an example, the intervening object's implementation of a method it overrides can be as simple as:

```
- (unsigned)count
{
    return [embeddedObject count];
}
```

However, your object could put code for its own purposes in the implementation of any method it overrides.

A Composite Object: An Example

To illustrate the use of a composite object, imagine you want a mutable array object that tests changes against some validation criteria before allowing any modification to the array's contents. The example that follows describes a class called `ValidatingArray`, which contains a standard mutable array object. `ValidatingArray` overrides all of the primitive methods declared in its superclasses, `NSArray` and `NSMutableArray`. It also declares the **`array`**, **`validatingArray`**, and **`init`** methods, which can be used to create and initialize an instance:

```
#import <foundation/foundation.h>

@interface ValidatingArray : NSMutableArray
{
    NSMutableArray *embeddedArray;
}

+ validatingArray;
- init;
- (unsigned)count;
- objectAtIndex:(unsigned)index;
- (void)addObject:object;
- (void)replaceObjectAtIndex:(unsigned)index withObject:object;
- (void)removeLastObject;
- (void)insertObject:object atIndex:(unsigned)index;
- (void)removeObjectAtIndex:(unsigned)index;

@end
```

The implementation file shows how, in a `ValidatingArray`'s **`init`** method, the embedded object is created and assigned to the *embeddedArray* variable. Messages that simply access the array but don't modify its contents are relayed to the embedded object. Messages that could change the contents are scrutinized (here in

pseudo-code) and relayed only if they pass the hypothetical validation test.

```
#import "ValidatingArray.h"

@implementation ValidatingArray

- init
{
    embeddedArray = [[NSMutableArray allocWithZone:[self zone]] init];
    return self;
}

+ validatingArray
{
    return [[[self alloc] init] autorelease];
}

- (unsigned)count
{
    return [embeddedArray count];
}

- objectAtIndex:(unsigned)index
{
    return [embeddedArray objectAtIndex:index];
}

- (void)addObject:object
{
    if (/* modification is valid */) {
        [embeddedArray addObject:object];
    }
}
```

```
- (void)replaceObjectAtIndex:(unsigned)index withObject:object;
{
    if (/* modification is valid */) {
        [embeddedArray replaceObjectAtIndex:index withObject:object];
    }
}

- (void)removeLastObject;
{
    if (/* modification is valid */) {
        [embeddedArray removeLastObject];
    }
}

- (void)insertObject:object atIndex:(unsigned)index;
{
    if (/* modification is valid */) {
        [embeddedArray insertObject:object atIndex:index];
    }
}

- (void)removeObjectAtIndex:(unsigned)index;
{
    if (/* modification is valid */) {
        [embeddedArray removeObjectAtIndex:index];
    }
}
```