T E C H N O T E   1 0 8 9

CONTENTS

This Technote, originally *FL 6 - HFS Elucidations* , describes a few problems that may occur while using Hierarchical File System (HFS). It also describes ways to avoid these problems.

This Note is important for developers who need to address debugging issues involving HFS. This is as important now as it was when the Note was originally published.

The Note discusses the following problems:

1. It is very important to be careful about how files are opened and closed. There must be no more than one close for every open.
2. Don't use driver names, like `.Bout`, `.Print` or `.Sony`, in place of file names, or the system may become confused.

Each of these can lead to strange occurrences, as well as problems for users. Performing any or all of these marginally-illegal operations will not necessarily lead to a System Error. In some cases, the confusion generated may be worse than a System Error.

One Close is Always Enough

If a file is closed twice, it is possible to corrupt the file system on a disk. Without a clear understanding of how the file system allocates access paths to files that are currently open, it is possible to adopt a rather cavalier attitude about opening and closing files. This Note explains why it is necessary to be very careful about opening and closing files.

When the File Manager receives an `Open` call, it will look at the parameters passed in the parameter block and create a new access path for the file that is being opened. The access path is how the File Manager keeps track of where to send data that is written, and where to get data that is read from that file. An access path is nothing more than:

1. a buffer that the file system uses to read and write data, and
2. a File Control Block that describes how the file is stored on a disk.

A call such as:

```
ErrStuff = FSpOpenDF (fsspec, permission, firstRefNum);
```

will create the access path as a buffer and a File Control Block (FCB) in the FCB buffer. The term "FCB buffer" is used in most documentation, although it actually behaves more like an array than a buffer. However, to avoid confusion, this Technote will continue to use the term "FCB buffer," although "FCB array" would be a better description.

Note:
The following example is here for illustrative purposes only; dependence on it may cause compatibility
problems with future system software.

The FCBSPtr is a low-memory global (at 0x034E) that holds the address of a nonrelocatable block. That
block is the File Control Block buffer, and is composed of the two byte header which gives the length of
the block, followed by the FCB records themselves. The records are of fixed length, and give detailed
information about an open file. The structure of the queue can be visualized as:

As depicted, any given record can be found by adding the length of the previous FCB records to the start
of the block, adding 2 for the two byte header; giving an offset to the record itself. The size of the block,
and hence the number of files that can be open at any given time, is determined at startup time and
expanded on demand later. The call to open the file referenced by fsspec above, will produce the file
reference number (which refers to the access path to the file) in firstRefNum. This is the number that will
be used to access that file from that point on. The File Manager passes back an offset into the FCB buffer
as the reference number (RefNum). This offset is the number of bytes past the beginning of the queue to
that FCB record in the buffer. That FCB record will describe the file that was opened. An example of a
number that might get passed back as a RefNum is $1D8. That also means that the FCB record is $1D8
bytes into the FCB block.

A visual example of a record in use, and how the RefNum relates is:

`Base` is merely the address of the nonrelocatable block that is the FCB buffer. `FCBSPtr` points to it. The `RefNum` (a number like `$1D8`) is added to `Base`, to give an address in the block. That address is what the file system will use to read and write to an open file, which is why you are required to pass the `RefNum` to the `PBRead` and `PBWrite` calls. So `RefNum` is merely an offset into the buffer.

Let's step through a dangerous imaginary sequence and see what happens to a given record in the FCB buffer. Here's the sequence we will step through:

```
ErrStuff = FSpOpenDF (fsspec, permission, firstRefNum);
ErrStuff = FSClose ( firstRefNum );
ErrStuff = FSpOpenDF (secondFileSpec, permission, secondRefNum);
ErrStuff = FSClose ( firstRefNum ); {the wrong file gets closed!!!}
{the above line will close 'SecondFile', not 'FirstFile', which is
 already closed}
```

Before any operations, the record at $1D8 is not used.

After the call:

```
ErrStuff = FSpOpenDF (firstFileSpec, permission, firstRefNum);
```

firstRefNum = $1D8 and the record is in use.

After the call:

```
ErrStuff = FSClose (firstRefNum);
```

firstRefNum is still equal to $1D8, but the FCB record is unused.

After the call:

```
ErrStuff = FSpOpenDF (secondFileSpec, permission, secondRefNum);
```

SecondRefNum = $1D8, FirstRefNum = $1D8, and the record is reused.

After the call:

```
ErrStuff = FSClose (firstRefNum);
```

The firstRefNum = $1D8, secondRefNum = $1D8, and the FCB buffer element is cleared. This happens even though firstFile was already closed. Actually, secondFile was closed:

Note:
The second close is using the old `RefNum`. The second close will still close a file, and in fact will return `noErr` as its result. Any subsequent accesses to the `secondRefNum` will return an error, since the file 'secondFile' was closed. The File Control Blocks are reused, and since they are just offsets, it is possible to get the same file `RefNum` back for two different files. In this case, `firstRefNum ==` `secondRefNum` since 'firstFile' was closed before opening 'secondFile' and the same FCB record was reused for 'secondFile'.

There are any number of nasty cases that can arise if a file is closed twice, reusing an old `RefNum`. A common programming practice is to have an error handler or cleanup routine that goes through the files that a program creates and closes them all, even if some may already be closed. If an FCB element was not reused, the `Close` will return the expected `fnOpnErr`. If the FCB had been reused, then the `Close` could be closing the wrong file. This can be very dangerous.

As a particularly nasty example, think of what can happen if a program were to close a file, then the user inserted an HFS floppy disk. The FCB could be reused for the Catalog File on that HFS disk. If the program had a generic error handler that closed all of its files, it could inadvertently close "its" file again. If it thought "its" file was still open it would do the close, which could close the Catalog file on the HFS disk. This is catastrophic for the disk since the file could easily be closed in an inconsistent state. The result is a bad disk that needs to be reformatted.

Avoiding the Problem of Overwriting The FCB Record

A very simple technique is to merely clear the `RefNum` after each close. If the variable that the program uses is cleared after each close, then there is no way of reusing a `RefNum` in the program. An example of this technique would be:

```
ErrStuff = FSpOpenDF (firstFileSpec, permission, firstRefNum);
ErrStuff = FSClose (firstRefNum);
firstRefNum = 0; { We just closed it, so clear our refnum }
ErrStuff = FSpOpenDF (secondFileSpec, permission, secondRefNum);
ErrStuff = FSClose (firstRefNum); { returns an error }
```

This makes the second `Close` pass back an error. In this case, the second close will try to close RefNum = 0, which will pass back a `rfNumErr` and do no damage.

 Note:
 Be sure to use 0, which will never be a valid `RefNum`, since the first FCB entry is beyond the FCB buffer length word. Don't confuse this with the 0 that the Resource Manager uses to represent the System file.

Thus, if an error handler were cleaning up possibly open files, it could blithely close all the files it knew about, since it would legitimately get an error back on files that are already closed. This is not done automatically, however. The programmer must be careful about the opening and closing of files. The problem can get quite complex if an error is received halfway through opening a sequence of ten files, for example. By merely clearing the `RefNum` that is stored after each close, it is possible to avoid the complexities of trying to track which files are open and which are closed.

This .filename Looks Outrageous

There is a potential conflict between file names and driver names when using deprecated `Open` calls, such as `FSOpen`, `PBHOpen` and `PBOpen`. If a file name is something like **.Bout, .Print** or **.Sony**, then the call will open the corresponding driver instead of the file. Drivers have priority and will always be opened before a file of the same name. This may mean that an application will get an error back when opening these types of files, or worse, it will get back a driver `RefNum` from the call. What the application thought was a file open call was actually a driver open call. If the program uses that access path as a file `RefNum`, it is possible to get all kinds of strange things to happen. For example, if `.Sony` is opened, the Sony driver's `RefNum` would be passed back, instead of a file `RefNum`. If the application does a `Write` call using that `RefNum`, it will actually be a driver call, using whatever parameters happen to be in the parameter block. Disks may be searching for new life after this type of operation. If a program creates files, it should not allow a file to be created whose name begins with '.'.

 Important:
 This problem never occurs when using the new `Open` calls, such as `FSOpenDF` and `PBHOpenDF`. For this reason, it is strongly recommended that these `Open` calls be used instead of `FSOpen`.

Further References

- *Inside Macintosh: Files* , Ch 2, File Manager
- Technical Note FL22 - HFS Ruminations

Downloadables

Acrobat version of this Note (K)

To contact us, please use the Contact Us page.
Updated: 20-Dec-96

Technotes
Previous Technote | Contents | Next Technote