

one memory list with the attributes given (which is usually the case with MEMF_CHIP). If there are more than one memory lists, AllocMem() may work in the second list while the reverse will fail in the first (and crash).

Warning: Tools such as *Memoration* can cause errors in the second AllocMem() from the workaround above.

This bug exists in all versions of Exec to date.

Q: The Autodoc for the DOS function InternalLoadSeg() states that ReadFunc() takes it arguments in registers d1/a0/d0. Is that true?

A: No, it actually takes them in registers—d1/d2/d3.

Q: Does the input.device ever try to lock the blitter?

A: Sure, all the time. All input handlers run on the input.device task, and the grandest input handler of all is called "Intuition". When an application calls Intuition, part or most of the function executes on the application's task, but part may execute on the input.device task. All user-initiated actions (e.g., dragging a window) always happen on the input.device task. This means the input.device does rendering, layer operation, copper-list and ViewPort operations, etc.

Q: I program in assembler. I hear that many software compatibility problems are traced to assembler application code containing a hidden misuse of a register. How can I check for this?

A: While programming in assembler, it is not uncommon for programmers to forget to refresh a scratch register (d1/a0/a1) after a system call, or even look at the wrong register

for the result of the system call. These registers contain leftover values from the internal code of the system function, which may happen to be the original value which was in the register before the call, or may happen to be a copy of the result (d0). If this is the case, the assembler application's register misuse bug may have no symptoms or only sporadic symptoms under one version of the OS. However, the slightest change to the system function's internal code can drastically change the leftover values in the scratch registers. In some cases, one instance of register misuse can render a major application unusable under a new version of the OS.

Here is a simple example of such a hidden coding error:

```
* GfxBase already in A6. Both SetDrMd and
* SetAPen expect a rastport pointer in A1
  MOVEA.L rastport, a1 * Put rport in A1
  MOVE.L #JAM1, d0 * JAM1
  JSR _LVOSetDrMd(a6) * set draw mode
  MOVE.L #3, d0 * pen 3
* Here's the problem: the programmer assumes
* A1 still contains the rastport pointer.
* Since A1 is a scratch register, SetDrMd
* may have overwritten A1 with garbage, so
* SetAPen will get a bogus RastPort pointer.
  JSR _LVOSetAPen(a6) * set pen
```

If the rastport pointer passed in A1 happens to be left over in A1 after the call to SetDrMd(), the call to SetAPen() will succeed. If not, the call to SetAPen() will trash memory, and possibly crash the system.

If you program in assembler, you must test your code with *Scratch* (by Bill Hawes) to test for misuse of registers after system calls. *Scratch* and the script that installs it (*scratchall.script*) are on the Software Toolkit II disk of the 2.0 Native Developer Update. It may also be found with the debugging tools on the Denver/Milan Devcon disks. *Scratch* will invalidate the scratch registers upon the exit from each system library call. If a program is failing to refresh a scratch register or looking at a scratch register improperly, you may get Enforcer hits (if you are running *Enforcer and Scratch*), and/or Mungwall hits, and/or obvious misbehavior or crashing of your code.

Use the *scratchall.script* to install *Scratch* before