

## Intro to 3D Graphics Programming:

### What You Need To Know First:

I'm assuming you have a knowledge of basic algebra, i.e. early highschool level math. If you've had some Trigonometry already, that will help out, but I'll try to cover the basics of what you need from that as well. But, more important than any one particular requirement, you have to like math, or at least a willingness to like it.

MATH IS ONLY BORING IF YOU DON'T HAVE A USE FOR IT.

Basically, 3D coding is not really about programming... it's almost entirely about math. The amount of time you spend thinking about what you're doing is generally a lot longer than the time you actually spend pounding the keys writing the code.

If you don't think you like math, and you're a coder, chances are you probably DO like math and just don't know it. :-)  
I'm serious... a lot of times when you hate a subject in school, you don't really hate it, you just think you hate it because you hate the way it's taught. Once you get down to finding a purpose for all that "useless" knowledge... it becomes a heck of a lot of fun. So if you like math, and you like coding graphics, you've come to the right place. If you like coding graphics but don't like math... give it a chance; you may find out something new about yourself. :-)

### What You Don't Need to Know First

Okay, generally speaking, the chain of math courses taught in high school and college (or their European equivalents, which I can't really speak for but I can take a guess) goes something like this:

1. Algebra 2. Geometry 3. Analytical Algebra 4. Trigonometry 5. Pre-Calculus 6. Calculus 7. Linear Algebra 8. Vector Geometry 9. Multivariable Calculus 10. Differential Equations 11. Even more complicated issues that I haven't gotten to yet...

You need to know up to #3 to make use of any of this. #4 would be helpful, although I'll cover a few things here in the beginning to get you going, if you've never taken Trig before.

Pre-Calc and Calc aren't directly needed for this series, although you'll find it useful later on in life when you want to make really cool movements for your vectors.

And if you know through #7, life is a breeze; you can forget most of this beginning crap. :) Anything after that I'm not going to cover by itself, but you'll find it helpful if you know it, as you try more innovative ideas with your vector code. But still, it's not necessary at all for the little I'm going to be able to teach you. :)

### What Will Be Covered In This Series

Whew, it's a whopper. Here's the series layout as I'm planning it so far. As you can tell, the first couple articles are for the very beginners, like the kind who know how to code, but have never even touched anything with 3D before. After the first few, it'll get more interesting though, so bear with me (if you have some 3D experience already, you can forget reading the first few articles; they won't do you any good).

1. Basic Trig functions, 3D Coordinate to 2D Screen Projection. 2. 2D and 3D Rotations & Other Transformations. 3. Dot and Cross Products, Backface Removal and Lightsourcing. 4. Polygon Fills - Flat and Lambert. 5. Polygon Fills - Gouraud and Phong. 6. Polygon Fills - Affine Texture Mapping. 7. Polygon Fills -

Perspective Texture Mapping, Perspective Correction 8. Spherical and Cylindrical Coordinates 9. Polygon Fills - Bump Mapping 10. BSP Trees and Spatial Partitioning 11. Polygon Fills - Reflection Mapping 12. Alternative Camera Positioning and Motion 13. Path Management, Linear and Bezier Curve Movement 14. Basic Inverse Kinematics 15. (Unknown - I'm not sure how much further I can take it without risking losing the few tricks I have up my sleeve for my future :)

It's quite likely that the later articles will be split into separate articles as well (I doubt I can fit both Gouraud and Phong, for example, into one article). So the numbers are probably going to get higher and higher. It will probably be around the time of NAID or afterward that the series ends.

Get ready for a crash course in 3D... :-)

## Section One - Basic Trigonometric Functions

Okay, If you've taken Trig before, skip this section. If you haven't, you're probably thinking that this long title doesn't sound too friendly. Don't worry, it sounds a whole lot worse than it is.

The main principles of Trigonometry come from the first part of the name, "Trigon", which pretty much means a triangle. Everything in Trig is based on a few functions which deal with triangles. There are six of these functions...

Sine, Cosine, Tangent, Secant, Cosecant, and Cotangent Abbreviated: Sin, Cos, Tan, Sec, Csc, and Cot.

... but in fact, they all boil down to the first two, Sine and Cosine.

Now I assume you're all familiar with functions, like  $f(x) = 2x$  and so forth (BTW I'll enclose functions in  $[]$  on occasion throughout this doc). Well the Trig functions are all functions using angles, for example  $f(x) = \sin(x)$  or even  $f(x) = 2 \sin(x) - 5 \cos(x)$ , and so on. In these cases,  $x$  is an angle, either in degrees or radians. I'm going to stick with degrees for this explanation, since radians are too complex for me to discuss right now.

Okay, so now you're thinking, "Great... Sine and Cosine are functions using angles. But what do they DO?" Well, here's the rundown.

Get out a piece of paper. Go ahead, I'll wait. Now on that piece of paper, draw yourself a pair of X and Y coordinate axes, like you normally would for a graph. Make it big, so you give yourself lots of room.

Now draw a circle around the origin. Make it as good a circle as you can sketch. Now whatever size you drew your circle, assume that's a radius of 1. So where the circle hits your X and Y axes, label those points as  $X=1$  on the right,  $Y=1$  on the top,  $X=-1$  on the left, and  $Y=-1$  on the bottom.

Okay, with this circle, we need some angles. Using your good ol' 360-degree system, label those four points with their angles, where 0 degrees is facing the right and they go counterclockwise. So 0 is at the right, 90 is up, 180 is left, and 270 is down.

Now knowing that's how the angles go, draw a line from the origin out to the circle at about, oh, 60 degrees (up and to the right, more tall than wide). At that point on the circle, draw a line STRAIGHT DOWN to the X axis. What you should have now is a triangle inside the circle, with one side on the X axis, one going straight up, and one at a 60 degree angle.

Okay, it's time for a little guessing game. Assuming that the circle has a radius of 1 like you drew it, how tall is that vertical side of the triangle? It's almost as tall as the circle, but not quite... more than half the height of the circle, maybe around 3/4 the height, something like that.

What was your guess? Probably somewhere between 0.7 and 0.9, I would think. It should look about that tall. Whatever your guess is, write it down, and keep it handy... it's going to be very important.

Now do the same thing for the bottom horizontal side of the triangle, the one along the X axis. How long is that from the looks of it? It appears around half the width of the circle, so you'd probably guess around 0.5 or so. Anyway, write that one down too.

Okay, So you've got this triangle inside this circle, with the longest side at an angle of 60 degrees and a length of 1 (the radius of the circle), and two sides going straight vertical and horizontal, with lengths somewhere near the numbers you guessed.

I'm gonna pull out my calculator, which supports Trig functions. Lemme punch up the numbers....

$$\sin(60) = 0.8660254 \quad \cos(60) = 0.5000000$$

Notice any correlation? You should... they're the lengths of the sides you were guessing! :) That, quite simply, is what the Sin and Cos functions are... if you have a circle with radius 1, and a line at any angle from the origin to the circle, then the Sine of that angle is the Y-component (height) of that line, and the Cosine of the angle is the X-component (width) of the line.

Other examples? Well now that you know what the Sin and Cos functions mean, what are the Sin and Cos of 90 degrees? Well let's see... that's the line going straight up from the origin, along the Y axis. The height is the height of circle, exactly. And the width... well, there is no width, since it's not going left or right whatsoever.

Sure enough,

$$\sin(90) = 1.0000000 \quad \cos(90) = 0.0000000$$

Likewise, the trend continues.....

Angle   Sine   Cosine   0   0   1   90   1   0   180   0   -1   270   -1   0

Which demonstrates a very important point about these functions

Fact: The Sine and Cosine functions will never go less than -1, and never greater than 1.

... and that makes sense, considering the circle has a radius of 1. (Terminology: The circle you drew is often called the "unit circle" by math books and teacher-type people).

Now by looking at the circle, you can see how the height changes less and less as you approach  $\sin=1$  or  $\sin=-1$ , and likewise the width changes less and less as you approach  $\cos=1$  or  $\cos=-1$ . It turns out that the Sin and Cos functions are not linear whatsoever... and because of that, calculating them for any given angle is a real pain in the rear. Sure, you have the occasional angles like 90 and 180 which have 1s and 0s, or 30 and 60 which have one of the components exactly 0.5, but most of the time you have values that are not the nicest of numbers.

For this reason, you often hear 3D coders referring to "Sine Tables", which are precalculated tables holding the Sin and Cos values for every angle in their system (in

this example, a 360 degree system. It turns out in coding that a 256 degree system is much more convenient, for reasons you can probably guess). These do-it-once tables turn an otherwise painful calculation into a simple memory look-up. :-)

(BTW - earlier I mentioned in addition to Sin and Cos the Tan, Sec, Csc and Cot functions... these can all be created from Sin and Cos by the following:  $\tan(x) = \sin(x)/\cos(x)$   $\sec(x) = 1/\cos(x)$   $\csc(x) = 1/\sin(x)$   $\cot(x) = \cos(x)/\sin(x) = 1/\tan(x)$  Most of those aren't very useful in vector coding, with the exception of Tangent... it will come in handy at times. Everything else isn't too important for now, but keep it on the back burner for future reference).

Okay, so now you've learned what you need to know from Trig... that the Sin and Cos functions can be used to find the X and Y parts of a line at any given angle.

You just take the length of the line, multiply the Sin or Cos (whichever you're looking for, Y or X respectively) by the line's length, and wham you've got your result.

This will be used EXTENSIVELY in the rest of the doc and in 3D in general, as almost everything depends on these components.

There is certainly a lot more to trigonometry, and entire books have been dedicated to the subject. My highly over-simplified version here should hopefully be enough to get your foot in the door. If you want more complex and detailed info, I'd highly suggest a good math textbook covering the subject (Trig is often grouped in with pre-calculus texts, in case you have a difficult time finding a separate book). But this should be good enough for the time being. :)

All set? Then let's get out of this plane of thought (sorry, very bad pun) and get into some three-space nitty gritty, starting with...

## Section Two - The 3D Cartesian Coordinate System

Okay, you're undoubtedly already used to doing graphics in 2D using the X and Y axes; it's what you've been doing in algebra all along. Well using X and Y is the 2D standard of the Cartesian coordinate system, and likewise, we need to add another axis of depth for 3D - The Z axis. But where does this Z axis go?

Well X and Y are perpendicular to each other in the 2D plane. It's the same as the plane of your screen, for example. Well in 3D, we can get depth by putting the Z axis perpendicular to that entire plane altogether, going either into or out of your screen.

A common term for a line or plane perpendicular to another plane is "orthogonal". That is, we want a Z axis that's orthogonal to the XY screen plane.

But in which direction? It can either go into or out of the plane, so which one is right? Well this is really entirely by convention... the standard way is to use a "right handed" orientation. What's a right handed orientation? Okay, hold up your right hand in front of your face. Point your thumb toward your nose. Now look at your fingers... they curl counterclockwise. This is the basis behind a right-handed system. When you have the XY plane, the two axes for X and Y are to the right and going up, respectively. So if you start your hand at the first axis, X, and curl your right hand's fingers in the direction of the second axis, Y, your thumb will point out toward your nose.

So the Z axis goes out of the screen, toward you, by a right-handed system (for the same reason, if you used the YX plane instead of the XY plane, the curl would be in the opposite direction and Z would go into the screen instead).

Cheesy ASCII graph....

Y ||| Screen Plane || -----X /// Z

The graph above is the way we're going to do it in this doc. All 3D Cartesian really is, is just the same coordinate system you're used to, but with the added dimension so you can locate a point anywhere in space and not just on a plane. End of story (You might find later that other coordinate systems, like spherical and cylindrical, can help out with some routines... but I'll go over those in time).

Short section, eh? There's more, but not much. :-) Well, now with the 3D system in your grasp, it's time to put it to use, and get some 3D points to show up on-screen.

### Section Three - Perspective Projection

Now we've got this nice XYZ spacial coordinate system... but how do we take advantage of it and get some stuff on-screen from it? In 2D, it was easy... you just used the same X axis as in your coordinates, and the Y axis was the same only flipped upside down (since as you know, in coding it's easier if the Y axis goes down, for calculation reasons). But how are we going to take this Z thing into account and make things look right?

The way we do it is by "Perspective Projection". Perspective is a pretty basic idea which you see continuously in your life.... Things further away from you look smaller than things closer up. Pretty obvious fact that you've lived with throughout your existence. :)

Well all we have to do is turn that simple principle into math...

English way: "Things further away from you look smaller than things closer up."

Math way: "The projected size of any object in the eye is inversely proportional to its distance from the eye".

It's more "math-like", but still makes sense, right? They both mean the same thing more or less, but the second phrase is more easily turned into equation form... the kind we can put into our code.

Okay, by our ASCII graph of the coordinate system, your eye lies right along our Z axis, staring down in the negative direction (the Z axis goes out of your screen, and you're looking in). So an object's, or point's, distance from the eye is going to have a lot to do with the Z coordinate of that point.

So the first thing we need to settle is, if our eye (or in our case, the video screen) is sitting somewhere along the Z axis.... where along the Z axis is it? In truth, this is entirely up to you... the screen is just a "camera", and we're trying to find a convenient place for it to sit. All we know is that it goes along the positive Z axis.

It turns out for calculation reasons that a very effective place to put the camera is at  $Z=256$ . You'll see why in a minute... (and no, none of this is carved in stone. Cameras can go anywhere and view in any direction, it's just that the math gets more complicated).

Okay, now we've got the camera at (0,0,256) and pointing in the negative direction. That means that our Cartesian origin is exactly 256 units away from us, right along our line of sight. The next thing we need to make up is a frame of reference for X and Y.... How big, visually, is a unit along X or Y? Well we can use any set of values we see fit, but just to make it easier on the brain, we want to use something we're already used to... something intuitive...

How about 1 pixel? It's easy to compute, since it's the same as your screen plane. Okay, so we know \_somewhere\_ viewable along this axis, X and Y are going to mean 1 pixel units.

But where along the Z axis? If things get larger as they get closer and smaller as they get further away, where's a good distance to say where the unit/pixel plane sits?

Heck, why not just use the  $Z=0$  plane? After all, we know the origin is perfectly visible (256 units down the  $-Z$  axis) so the origin is easily in reach, and probably will make it easy to calculate our perspective viewing.

Okay, we've got all we need to set up some perspective equations. Now let's do some simple examples in our heads so we can find out where these equations are coming from...

In 320x200 resolution (you can use any resolution you want, really, but this is just for demonstration), the center point of your screen is at (160,100). Makes sense, and you're probably very used to this by now. Well, we're viewing along the  $-Z$  axis, so that's where our axis is going down. Right along the line of that pixel. No up, no down, no left, no right. That's it.

Now we know that at  $Z=0$ , Every X and Y unit mean one pixel. So where would (5,5,0) be positioned? Well, it's the same as our screen plane, so there's no distance adjustments to do. It's 5 to the right of the origin, so  $ScrX = 160 + 5 = 165$ . And it's 5 above the origin, which is in the opposite direction of our "screen plane" Y, so that's  $ScrY = 100 - 5 = 95$ . You've got your projected point.

So what to do with points not on  $Z=0$ ? How about (5,5,128)? Well if we think about it,  $Z=0$  is 256 units distance from the screen. Well  $Z=128$  is  $256 - 128 = 128$  units distance from the screen. Exactly half of the distance.

Half the distance? That makes it twice the size! :-) So instead of adding 5 to X and subtracting 5 from Y, you'd use 10 instead for each axis. That would give us screen coords (170,90) for this point. Same 3D X and Y as in the first example, but the fact that it's so much closer makes it look further from the center of the screen... the essence of perspective. :)

Now in general, our equations go something like this

$$ScrX = ( Lens * X / Distance ) + CenterX \quad ScrY = CenterY - ( Lens * Y / Distance )$$

I'll explain each piece. First,  $ScrY$  \_would\_ be the same basic equation as  $ScrX$ , except that we have to do the subtraction instead because Y goes down on screen, not up. Anyway, we have a few variables here...

$ScrX$  and  $ScrY$  are the screen point of the 3D point we're trying to project. I figure you guessed that one already. :-)

Distance is the distance of the point from the eye. As we discussed before, this has a \_direct\_ correlation to the Z coordinate of our 3D point. Now since we're on the positive Z axis but viewing in the negative direction, and we know that at  $Z=0$  the distance is 256, then any point where  $Z > 0$  will have a distance  $< 256$ . Likewise, if  $Z < 0$ , the point will be further away than the origin, and distance  $> 256$ . This makes distance a very simple value:

$$Distance = (256 - Z)$$

Pretty easy, eh? :-) Now, Lens is a multiplier used to give your projection the right "field of view" that you want. You can manipulate Lens to give the projection a

very narrow range of vision, or to give it the classic "wall-eye" view as well. But in general, a really messed-up field of view will make people want to vomit. It's not natural.

So we want to set it to something that will "feel" natural. Well, from our calculations and the fact that we want a unit/pixel relationship at  $Z=0$ , we can get our Lens factor immediately... 256. It's also a nice multiplier, as you can use bit-shifts to get it, instead of a costly MUL instruction.

And finally, CenterX and CenterY are positive integers that match to the center X and Y point onscreen; 160 and 100 in this case (the Center value for any resolution is just the resolution/2, which makes sense).

So, from there, our equations boil down to

$$\text{ScrX} = (256 * X / (256 - Z)) + 160 \quad \text{ScrY} = 100 - (256 * Y / (256 - Z))$$

The first thing you should recognize is that we don't need to calculate  $(256 - Z)$  twice. Also, if you use fixed point, you can calculate the divide only once as well, but that's for a later article (I'm not focusing on optimizations in this one). Anyway, let's work out one of our previous examples using these equations and see if we get the same result. How about the (5,5,128) one... let's see...

$$\text{Distance} = 256 - Z = 256 - 128 = 128$$

$$\text{ScrX} = (256 * X / 128) + 160 = (256 * 5 / 128) + 160 = 10 + 160 = 170$$

$$\text{ScrY} = 100 - (256 * Y / 128) = 100 - (256 * 5 / 128) = 100 - 10 = 90$$

Yup, same answer! And these equations go quite easily into code. :-)

Now you'll find that using straight integer math with these equations will work well at first, but there are added advantages to using fixed point math as well. If you're familiar with fixed point, you should have few problems trying to adapt this to it, speeding things up in the process. If you're not familiar with it, don't sweat it; I'll cover it in an upcoming article.

### Section Three 2D Rotation

Before we can get more sophisticated 3D rotations going, we need to try it in two dimensions first... because 3D rotations are just based on three 2D rotations, but combined.

So how do we rotate something in 2D? How do we take any 2D point, give it an angle to rotate by about the origin, and get it correctly to its new position? Well this is where that Trig knowledge from the first article comes into play.

Everything about rotation involves Trig. Sine and Cosine are very much your friends here. And it's not that complicated, really... you can rotate in one plane with only 4 multiplies (other optimizations come later as well).

So how do we go about this? Well, let's take it piece by piece. First, I'll assume the XY plane (the real one, where Y goes up) for this, as we try to take a point and rotate it.

A lot of docs, when trying to explain rotation, will give you the simple equations for it but give you no clue as to how those equations came about. Several people

have asked me, "Hey, if and when you ever do a 3D tutorial, tell me how the heck you get those rotation equations, cuz I have no idea where those came from and why they work."

Well, I can't quite tell you where they came from at first (like who thought of them), but I can replicate the ideas here and show you what makes sense to me. If it makes sense to you too, then I guess it worked. :-)

Here's the idea...

Get out a piece of paper. No, don't worry, this isn't a quiz. ;)

On the paper, draw a pair of conventional XY coordinate axes, and then lightly sketch a large circle on it. Make sure the circle is light; you don't really need it for much except placing a couple points.

After you draw the circle, put a point at about, say, 30 degrees (assuming 0 degrees is to the right and the angles go counterclockwise). Then put another point at about 70 degrees, in the same fashion. We're going to pretend that the first point is our original point, and that we're trying to rotate it to the second point, our destination... a rotation of 40 degrees about the origin. The actual accuracy of the points doesn't matter; if you're a bit off, it's fine.

Now with each point, draw a triangle for that point. Each triangle's three sides are the X axis, the line from the origin to the point, and the line from the point straight down to the X axis. What you should have now are two right triangles in the upper right quadrant of your XY plane, one being pretty upright (the destination point's), and the other a bit more wide than tall.

Time for some labels... okay, for each triangle, label the line going from the origin to the point as "R" (for radius). Since it's the same length for both triangles, we use the same label. Now, on the first triangle (the short, wide one), label the side along the X axis "X", for that length. Likewise, label the line from the X axis up to the point as "Y" for that height.

For the second triangle (the tall one, for the 70 degree point), label the X length and Y height as "U" and "V", respectively, in a similar fashion.

Finally, we need two angles. In the angle between the X axis and the first, lower R side (30 degrees), label it  $\phi$  (called Phi). Then label the angle between the lower R and the higher R (the one at 70 degrees) as  $\theta$  (called Theta).

There we go... we've got our drawing. :-) If my little walkthrough in drawing this has confused you to no end, either try it again from the beginning, or look at the PCX in this supplement, with an image of the same diagram I'm describing.

Okay, so we have this drawing. Basically, what we know in the beginning is that we have this initial point at an unknown angle (we know it's 30 degrees in this example, but normally, you won't know that for arbitrary points), yet we know it has Cartesian coordinates (X, Y). What we want to do is pump X and Y through an equation or two, along with the angle we want to rotate by (which we labeled as Theta, and in this example is 40 degrees), and find out its new coordinates, called (U, V). So what equations do we use? Let's find out...

There are several convenient identities in Trigonometry that you can find in pretty much every math textbook with Trig in it... one of those identities is called the "Law of Sines", which goes like this...



$$\frac{\sin(\hat{a})}{A} = \frac{\sin(\hat{b})}{B} = \frac{\sin(\hat{c})}{C}$$

Where A, B, and C are the lengths of the sides of a triangle, and  $\hat{a}$ ,  $\hat{b}$ , and  $\hat{c}$  are the angles \_directly opposite\_ those sides...

$$\frac{\sin(\hat{a})}{A} = \frac{\sin(\hat{b})}{B}$$

It doesn't have to be a right triangle; it works for every triangle there is. Granted, for our purposes, we \_will\_ be using our right triangles, and this will help us out.

Now if we use our first right triangle, the short one, and pretend that R is our "C" of the triangle, by the fact that this is a right triangle, we know that  $\hat{a}$  is 90 degrees.

And the Sine of 90 is 1, which gives us one very nice piece of math meat.

We only need to use one other side of our Law of Sines formula in this example, in this case, the A- $\hat{a}$  side. In our case, "A" is the same as Y, and  $\hat{a}$  is the same as  $\hat{i}$ .

So we have a little mini-formula,

$$\frac{\sin(90)}{\sin(\hat{i})} = \frac{1}{\sin(\hat{i})} = \frac{R}{Y} \text{ which means } Y = R \sin(\hat{i})$$

Then, if you multiply each side by Y, it moves the Y to the left side, so

$$Y = R \sin(\hat{i})$$

This should all make sense so far, I hope. If you're looking at the diagram as you read this, it should clear things up a bit.

Okay, so we can see the relation between the angle  $\hat{i}$ , and the sides Y and R. Well since  $\hat{i}$  is across from Y, shouldn't we be able to have the same kind of relation for

the other triangle, with V and R? The angle across from V is just  $\hat{i}$  and  $\hat{e}$  added together, so shouldn't that work?

Sure does. :-)

$$V = R \sin(\hat{i} + \hat{e})$$

Okay, time for another nifty Trig identity (BTW, if you don't have a math book with all these identities in it, let me know... if enough people ask for a listing, I'll type

up a quick reference list with identity equations that you can use. Just email to the address at the end, if you think you'd like that :)

Anyway, another nice identity is that for any two angles  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{b}$ ,

$$\sin(\hat{a} + \hat{b}) = \sin(\hat{a}) \cos(\hat{b}) + \cos(\hat{a}) \sin(\hat{b})$$

So we sub that into our previous thing, and we have

$$V = R \sin(\hat{i}) \cos(\hat{e}) + R \cos(\hat{i}) \sin(\hat{e})$$

Multiply by R now, to get V (the destination point's X value that we've been trying to find), and it's

$$V = R \sin(\hat{i}) \cos(\hat{e}) + R \cos(\hat{i}) \sin(\hat{e})$$

Welp, last identity.... this one, taken from Polar coordinates. If you've had algebra, you've used Polar coordinates before.

Well if you remember the way to convert a

polar point to Cartesian (I doubt you do, so I'll remind you... it's gonna take a while before you end up memorizing all these darn formulas, trust me :) those

conversions are

$$X = R \cos(\text{Theta}) \quad \text{*** Don't confuse these with our R, X, or Y! } Y = R \sin(\text{Theta}) \text{ They're just conversion equations ***}$$

Well look at our V equation above... notice anything? We know Phi is an angle in the triangle that deals only with X and Y, which we know (since they're just your first point and all). So can we drop those  $R*\sin(i)$  and  $R*\cos(i)$  parts and just sub in X and Y like you would do with Polar? You betcha.....

$$V = Y*\cos(\epsilon) + X*\sin(\epsilon) \text{ *** FINAL V EQUATION!!! :) ***}$$

That's all we need! Hooray! :) We know X and Y, since we started with those. And we know  $\epsilon$ , since it's the number of degrees we want to rotate by (in our example, 40 degrees). So if we use this equation, we get the V value, which is the Y coordinate of the FINAL point. :)

Now we still need to get U (the final point's X coordinate). Luckily, the series of equations is the same almost, except one identity is different. I won't work out the whole thing again, you can do that if you want. But there are the differences that you'll see. One, since we're doing the horizontal element instead of vertical,

$$U --- = \cos(i+\epsilon) R$$

Now's Cosine's Sum of Angles formula is a little bit different than Sine's,

$$\cos(\hat{a}+\acute{a}) = \cos(\hat{a})*\cos(\acute{a}) - \sin(\hat{a})*\sin(\acute{a})$$

which will end up giving us that subtraction instead of addition in the end. If you keep working the equations the same as we did before, but with this new identity, you get the U equation too! :)

$$U = X*\cos(\epsilon) - Y*\sin(\epsilon) \text{ *** FINAL U EQUATION!!! ***}$$

Summing up those equations into nice, happy, 2D rotation form.....

$$\text{NewX} = (\text{OldX}*\cos(\text{Theta})) - (\text{OldY}*\sin(\text{Theta})) \quad \text{NewY} = (\text{OldY}*\cos(\text{Theta})) + (\text{OldX}*\sin(\text{Theta}))$$

And there we have it! Note that I made it very clear as to the difference between the "Old" and "New" values. It's important that you do this, too. You don't want to just use a value "X", for example.... because if you calculate the "new" X and end up using that instead of the "old" X in the second equation (for NewY), you don't get the right rotation.

IN ROTATION, USE ONLY THE OLD VALUES UNTIL ALL THE NEW ONES ARE FOUND!

Once you have the final new X and Y values, THEN replace the old pair with the new pair, and go on your way. Make sure to keep the values separate until that time.

BTW... As you look back at how I derived these rotation formulas, don't feel bad if you feel like you couldn't have derived them yourself... especially if you're just beginning. I know I ran on these formulas blindly for over a year before I ended up losing them and was forced to recreate them again in this fashion. I couldn't have done it earlier. It takes time, so if you feel like you're still in the dark... don't. Eventually you'll get the hang of it all. :-)

Any more to 2D rotation? Nope, that's the whole of it. Before you try out 3D rotation (explained in the next section), test out the above principles in some of your own code, by plotting a few pixels here and there and then rotating them about the origin. It's not hard at all to turn the above formulas (formulae?) into code. Also, if you need some help or are just plain curious, I've got some example source (in both Pascal and C, just like last time) in this supplement, demonstrating this stuff. Feel free to check it out. :)

Okay, well, enough of this planar stuff.... on to 3D rotations! (And relax, there's not much more; you've done the bulk of the work already....)

## Section Two - 3D Rotation

So what do we need to turn our rotations into 3D rotations? Not much, actually. There are many ways to do rotations in 3D, some simpler than others. The simplest (and most common from what I've seen) way is to do it by using three 2D rotations, one for each axis.

The 2D rotations we did in the last section are on the XY plane. But as you think about the XY plane in terms of 3D, the rotation takes on another meaning... it was also a rotation ABOUT the Z axis. Meaning that we have the Z axis, and whatever Z values the points may have, they stay the same, as we are rotating around that axis itself. The only values that change in a rotation about any axis are the values of the two OTHER coordinates.

So a rotation about Z will affect X and Y, a rotation about X will affect Y and Z, and a rotation about Y will affect Z and X. It's just one big cycle...

So if we want to do a full all-axis 3D rotation, we just arrange three back-to-back 2D rotations, one for each axis, like this...

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NewY} &= (\text{OldY} * \cos(\text{ThetaX})) - (\text{OldZ} * \sin(\text{ThetaX})) \\ \text{NewZ} &= (\text{OldZ} * \cos(\text{ThetaX})) + (\text{OldY} * \sin(\text{ThetaX})) \end{aligned}$$

(Copy NewY and NewZ into OldY and OldZ)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NewZ} &= (\text{OldZ} * \cos(\text{ThetaY})) - (\text{OldX} * \sin(\text{ThetaY})) \\ \text{NewX} &= (\text{OldX} * \cos(\text{ThetaY})) + (\text{OldZ} * \sin(\text{ThetaY})) \end{aligned}$$

(Copy NewZ and NewX into OldZ and OldX)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NewX} &= (\text{OldX} * \cos(\text{ThetaZ})) - (\text{OldY} * \sin(\text{ThetaZ})) \\ \text{NewY} &= (\text{OldY} * \cos(\text{ThetaZ})) + (\text{OldX} * \sin(\text{ThetaZ})) \end{aligned}$$

(No copies needed, since we're done)

The reasons for mid-copies are like I said; for each axis rotation you need to keep using the old values until both the new ones are done. But each axis's rotation is independent of the other two... so after each pair, you need to update all the values before going on to the next axis. You don't want to use one axis's old values when going into rotating about another axis; that would be bad.

Once you've done all three axes, you should have your new point, completely rotated about each angle as you wish (ThetaX, ThetaY, and ThetaZ).

One important point... the order in which you do these axes DOES make a difference. Rotating in an X-Y-Z sequence will not give you the same results as rotating in a Z-X-Y sequence, etc. Now, for your engine at this point, all you're probably concerned about is looks, i.e. that your object is rotating and you can see it rotating. Since that's the case, it really doesn't matter for the moment which order you do things in. It's the appearance that counts. But later on, when you get into more complex issues that involve more things than just a set of points, you'll want to keep your rotation order consistent. I just use X-Y-Z because it's pretty natural. :-)

I'm not going to get into optimizations of this rotation material until another time, but I can give you a hint or two now... first, you'll notice that right now it's at 12 multiplies for a full rotation (4 for each axis). But it turns out you can reduce it to at least 9 multiplies, by precalculating a few values at the beginning of each frame and

getting a final  $3 \times 3$  matrix for the actual point rotations themselves (if you don't know what I mean by matrix, don't worry about it at the moment; we'll get into matrices later on). It's something to look into, if you're curious and feel like tinkering with the math a bit.

Also, once again, this method of rotation is only one way to rotate. There are other ways, sometimes involving other coordinate systems, that can be more efficient on occasion as well. You'll discover those in time (and probably in some of the later articles :) But for now, this I think is the simplest way to begin... get these concepts down first, and drill them into your brain. You'll know when to switch gears when the time comes.

This Document was downloaded from Cameron's 80386+ programming pages ..