# L O D M O D E L S

# Level of Detail (LOD) Models

# **Part One**

EG99 Tutorial

1

## Outline

- Layered versus Multiresolution Models
- A general multiresolution surface model: The MultiTriangulation
- Basic spatial queries on multiresolution models
- Answering spatial queries at variable resolution
- Construction paradigms: an example on terrains
- Extensions to parametric surfaces and volume data

#### LOD Models

#### Layered models

description of a sequence of few meshes each of which represents an object at a different resolution

#### Multiresolution models

description of a virtually continuous set of meshes representing an object at increasing resolutions

EG99 Tutorial

## Layered Models

- Each mesh is obtained through simplification
- Each mesh is associated with a range of levels of detail
- The range is used as a filter to select a mesh from the sequence

Standard technology in OpenInventor<sup>™</sup> and VRML

#### ...Layered Models...

#### Disadvantages of layered models:

- Each mesh is stored independently: the number of meshes must be small, otherwise the model becomes huge
- Modest possibility to adapt resolution to application needs
- Unpleasant "popping" effects during the transition between different levels
- Resolution of each mesh is uniform

# **Multiresolution Models**

- They provide a virtually continuous range of meshes representing an object at different resolutions
- The number of different meshes, which can be extracted from the model, is not fixed a priori, but it is a function of the data size, and can be huge (e.g., combinatorial)
- Resolution of a mesh can be variable in different parts of the object

#### ...Multiresolution Models...

#### **Requirements for a Multiresolution Model**

- Support to efficient query processing (e.g., extraction of surface representations in real time)
- Size of the model not much higher than size of the maximum resolution representation
- No cracks or abrupt transitions within a single mesh
- Smooth transition between representations at close resolutions

### ...Multiresolution Models...

#### Intuitive Idea behind Multiresolution

 Surface representations at different levels of detail (LODs) can be obtained as a sequence of local modifications on an initial mesh (by simplification or refinement)



- Some modifications depend on others
- Some modifications are mutually independent

#### ...Multiresolution Models...



0

М О

D E L S

# the MultiTriangulation

- A MultiTriangulation (MT) is a labeled DAG where
  - nodes are triangle meshes
  - arcs describe the partial order



### ...A General Framework for Multiresolution...

- Each local modification must be consistent
- Consistent modification of a triangle mesh:
  - If *T* is a triangle mesh, a mesh *T<sub>i</sub>* is a *consistent modification* of *T* iff *T* contains a submesh *T'<sub>i</sub>*, such that *T<sub>i</sub>* "covers" *T'<sub>i</sub>*, and *T<sub>i</sub>* has more triangles than *T'<sub>i</sub>*
  - $T'_i$  is called the *floor* of  $T_i$ ,



# Expressive Power of a MultiTriangulation

- A subMT of an MT *M* is a subgraph *M*' where
  - **M**' contains the root
  - If  $T_i$  belongs to M', then all parents of  $T_i$  belong to M' as well
- Every subMT is an MT



#### ... Expressive Power of a MultiTriangulation...

- Any mesh made of triangles in *M* is the boundary mesh of a subMT
- Boundary mesh: mesh obtained by applying all modifications in the subMT to the root mesh





boundary mesh

#### ... Expressive Power of a MultiTriangulation...

Mesh at Maximum Resolution

boundary mesh associated with the MT itself





boundary mesh

# Desirable Properties for a MultiTriangulation

- Linear growth:
  - the number of triangles of the MT is linear in the number of triangles in its boundarv mesh





L O D M O D E L S

#### ...Desirable Properties for a MultiTriangulation...

Bounded width:

• the number of triangles in any MT mesh is bounded from above by a constant

• Logarithmic height:

 $^{\bigcirc}$  the maximum path length is logarithmic in the total number of arcs of the MT

Remark: bounded width ==> linear growth

# **Spatial Queries on an MT**

Special cases of a general extraction query specified by:

- an accuracy condition:
  - specification of the LOD at which the mesh is queried
  - threshold function bounding the distance between the original surface and the mesh extracted from the MT
- $\bigcirc$  a focus condition:
  - specification of the type of geometric operation defined by the query
  - focus set defining the area of interest of the query

Example:

maximum resolution inside a box



EG99 Tutorial

#### **Accuracy Condition**

- Threshold function  $au : R^3$
- A triangle *t* is called *valid* iff its approximation error is lower than the minimum value of the threshold over *t*
- $\circ$  A triangle mesh satisfies  $\tau$  if all its triangles are valid
- Examples of threshold functions:
- for arbitrary surfaces: increasing with the distance from the viewpoint, measured in 3D space
- O for *terrains*: increasing with the distance from the viewpoint, measured on the x-y plane





EG99 Tutorial

#### **Focus Condition**

- Focus set F in R<sup>3</sup>
- A triangle *t* is called *active* iff

t F is not empty

()

A focus set describes the region of interest of the query

Examples of focus sets:

- Point : point location query
- O Line/polyline : segment/line interference query
- *Region* : window query, region interference query
- O Volume: view frustum

LOD MODELS General Extraction Query (called Selective Refinement)

- Triangle mesh *T*, among all meshes described by the MT, such that
  - **T** has minimal size (minimal number of triangles)
  - O all active triangles of *T* are valid

#### **Two instances of the General Extraction Query**

- Resulting mesh globally defined :
  - defined on the whole surface
- Resulting mesh locally defined :
  - O defined only on the area of interest



EG99 Tutorial



#### **Extraction Queries**

- Extraction of a mesh *from scratch* :
  - static extraction query
- Extraction of a mesh by *updating* a previously extracted one :
  - dynamic extraction query

# **Globally Defined Static Extraction Query**



# Globally Defined Dynamic Extraction Query

 $\Gamma 4$ 

#### Given

 $\bigcirc$  a threshold function au , a focus set  $m{F}$ 

O a subMT M'

- Retrieve a triangle mesh **T** such that
  - every active triangle of *T* is valid
  - the subMT *M*" defining *T* is the closest to *M*'

where distance = number of nodes which must be added to / subtracted from *M*' to obtain *M*"

previous mesh

current mesh

>= not satisfying threshold

M'

M"

T0

# Algorithms for Extracting Meshes at Variable Resolution

- Algorithms for globally defined queries:
  - In algorithm for answering the static mesh extraction query
  - In algorithm for answering the dynamic mesh extraction query
- For an algorithm for the locally defined query in the static case, see (De Floriani et al., IEEE Visualization'98)

# Static Extraction Algorithm (De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, 1997)

- Breadth-first traversal of the MT
  - A *current subMT* is maintained during traversal
  - O The current mesh is the boundary mesh of the current subMT
- Initially, the current subMT contains just the root
- If some active triangle t of the current mesh is not valid, then
  - get the MT node  $T_i$  refining t
  - $\bigcirc$  recursively add to the current subMT all parents of  $T_i$
  - $\bigcirc$  add  $T_i$  to the current subMT
- Repeat until either all active triangles are valid (the desired accuracy is achieved) or time is expired

#### ...Static Extraction Algorithm...



Grey triangles are not valid Focus set is a box

- Initial situation: green
- Triangle **t** is active and not valid
  - *t* is refined by *T4*
  - → must add first *T1*, then *T4*





#### ... Static Extraction Algorithm...

Add *T1* to subMT
 ○ ⇒ red

L O

D

М 0

D E L S

- Add *T4* to subMT
   ⇒ orange
- All active triangles are valid
  - $\Rightarrow stop$



#### ...Static Extraction Algorithm...

- Variable resolution with arbitrary threshold supported
- Interruptibility:
  - it converges to the exact solution by producing better and better approximations
- Correctness:
  - set of output triangles forms a boundary triangulation of a subMT
  - O any boundary triangulation of smaller size does not satisfy the threshold
- Time complexity:
  - Inear in the number of visited triangles
  - O linear in the output size, if the MT has a linear growth

# Dynamic Extraction Algorithm (De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, 1998)

Two basic steps:

- Expansion step:
  - refine the current mesh until all active triangles are valid
- Contraction step:
  - coarsen the current mesh until it cannot be further coarsened without getting some active triangle which is not valid
- Expansion adds nodes to the current subMT
  - Proceed as in the static case
- Contraction removes nodes from the current subMT
  - Check all the nodes which are leaves of the current subMT
  - If a leaf node T<sub>i</sub> can be removed without getting some invalid active triangle, then remove T<sub>i</sub> and update the current mesh



#### Expansion

Initial situation: orange

Triangle t is active and not valid

○ ==> must add T3

- Add T3 to subMT
  => blue
- all active triangles are valid

○ ==> stop expansion





- Correctness:
  - set of output triangles forms a boundary mesh of a subMT
  - removing a node from the final subMT makes the boundary triangulation violate the threshold
- Time complexity:
  - Inear in the number of visited triangles
  - linear in the sum of the input size and of the output size, if the MT has a linear growth

# Experimental Comparison of Static and Dynamic Approaches

- Terrain dataset (maximum resolution: 32,250 triangles)
- Threshold increases with distance from a moving viewpoint
- Focus set is a view frustum

	output	swept triangles		time (msecs)	
iter.	triangles	static	dyna.	static	dyna.
5	2681	11663	326	53.77	-36.07
15	2734	11900	38	56.51	36.03
25	2736	11902	260	55.24	35.68
35	2758	11996	44	55.31	35.48
45	2792	12114	134	54.47	35.38
55	2640	11450	14	58.17	36.25
65	2688	11688	242	56.28	-36.37
75	2768	12014	266	55.69	35.43
85	2710	11770	207	53.68	34.85
95	2682	11644	90	54.04	34.69

EG99 Tutorial

#### ... Experimental Comparison...

- Bunny dataset (maximum resolution: 69,451 triangles)
- Threshold is zero, focus set is a moving box

	output	swept triangles		time (msecs)	
iter.	triangles	static	dyna.	static	dyna.
5	2230	4166	62	39.31	25.76
15	2426	5094	158	42.52	27.77
25	2572	5776	0	43.12	28.29
35	2690	6354	50	44.58	28.90
45	2749	6713	268	45.33	30.25
55	2745	6667	134	44.85	29.24
65	2602	5858	624	41.36	29.20
75	2688	6312	56	43.34	28.20
85	2690	6372	20	43.50	28.20
95	2584	5836	270	40.94	27.94

- Shape of the MT versus construction strategy
- An MT can be built from a sequence of local modifications on an initial (coarse or fine) mesh : construction sequence
- A construction sequence is generated through a mesh refinement or mesh simplification process
- See (De Floriani, Magillo and Puppo, IEEE Visualization'97) for algorithms to build an MT from a construction sequence

#### **Requirements for a Construction Algorithm**

- Good compression ratio: reduced size of any extracted mesh
- *Linear growth*: small overhead factor
- Bounded width
- Logarithmic height

μ.

#### Why Such Requirements?

An example:

point location on an MT at variable resolution

Cost depends on:

- width
- height
- size of the visited subMT



#### **Evaluation of Construction Strategies**

- Theoretical and experimental evaluation of the shape of the MT based on the algorithm used for generating the construction sequence
- We have performed such evaluation in the case of terrains considering four different variants of the vertex removal strategy

#### • Method 1:

• remove an arbitrary maximal set of independent vertices of bounded degree

• Method 2:

• as method 1, but always starting from the vertex causing the smallest error increase

#### • Methods 1 and 2 guarantee:

- O linear growth
- bounded width
- logarithmic heigth





- Method 3:
  - remove the vertex with bounded degree causing the smallest error increase
- Method 4:

remove the vertex causing the smallest error increase

- Method 3 guarantees:
  - Iinear growth
  - bounded width
  - no logarithmic height
- Method 4 guarantees:
  - none of the three properties



#### Experimental evaluation of the four methods

- Data set: 128 x 128 grid of elevation data from US Geological Survey
- Size of the triangulation at maximum resolution: 32,258 triangles



EG99 Tutorial

L 0

D

М (

#### **Compression Factor**

- Evaluation based on extraction of meshes at different LODs
- Ratio between the size of the output and the size of the mesh at maximum resolution



L O

D

М (

# MT for Modeling Free-Form Surfaces

- An MT can be built:
  - If from an initial refined triangle mesh through a simplification approach (we use the vertex decimation algorithm by Cignoni, Montani and Scopigno, 1997)
  - from scattered data through a sculpturing approach (Boissonnat, 1994; Veltkamp, 1993; Bajaj et al., 1996, De Floriani et at., ICPR'98)
  - from contours: initial mesh built by connecting contours lying on adjacent planes (Fuch et al., 1977; Boissonnat, 1988; Geiger, 1993)
  - from a parametric surface description: data are a collection of adjacent patches; refinement approach applied to the boundary curves and then to the interior of each patch (De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, ICIAP'97)

### More on the MT

#### Implementation of the MT as a Library

- Independent of how the construction sequence is generated
- O Library written in C++, tested on both SGI and PC platforms
- It implements:
  - \* several extraction algorithms as well as several internal encoding structures
  - <sup>[]</sup> a collection of threshold functions and focus sets
  - <sup>1</sup> algorithms for building an MT from a given construction sequence

#### Extension to Volume Data Representation

- O MT definition is independent of the dimension of the space
- O The definition of MT for tetrahedral meshes directly extends the one for triangle meshes
- We are currently developing a library for 3D MT (in collaboration with Cignoni, Montani, and Scopigno)

#### References

- General definitions and properties: Puppo, CCCG'96 / Computational Geometry, 1998; Magillo, PhD Thesis, 1999
- Multidimensional extension and other models in the MT framework: De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, DGCI'99
- Construction of an MT from triangle meshes: De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, IEEE VIS'97
- Construction of an MT from parametric surfaces: De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, ICIAP'98
- Construction of an MT from scattered points: De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, ICPR'98
  - VARIANT (a terrain modeling system): De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, ACM GIS 1997
  - Data structures and extraction algorithms: De Floriani, Magillo, Puppo, IEEE VIS'98

Our web page:

http://www.disi.unige.it/research/Geometric\_modeling/