Castano - 1 Requirements Specification Reuse

Silvana Castano and Valeria De Antonellis

Dipartimento di Elettronica e Informazione Politecnico di Milano P.za Leonardo Da Vinci,32 - 20133 Milano - ITALY Tel: +39-2-23993651 Email: deantone@ipmel2.elet.polimi.it Fax: +39-2-23993411

Abstract

An environment to support reuse at the requirement specification level of the application development lifecycle is presented. The reuse model is introduced, and a methodology for defining reusable conceptual components is illustrated. Finally, the architecture of the tools supporting the reuse process is described.

Keywords: Conceptual Schema, Classification, Design-for-reuse, Design-by-reuse, Requirements Reuse, Requirements Specifications.

1 Introduction

Reusability of previous artifacts is considered a fundamental aspect in the application development life cyclistics is can shorten the development process, from the early requirements engineering to the detailed design and implementation [4,5,20,26,31]. For what concerns reusability of software artifacts, problems related to the identification, classification, retrieval, adaptation, and composition of components have been widely studied and solutions based on techniques and paradigms of the software engineering field have been propose [6,18,23,24,25]. Our research focuses on reusability of requirement specifications during the Requirement Engineering activity of the development life cycle[10,11,16,17,22,30]. Experimentation and validation of component are being performed in the framework of the Esprit project n.6612 F³ (From Fuzzy to Form aiming at defining a methodology and a software environment that integrates into a coherent whole a selection of adequate techniques, to manage and improve the requirements engineering process.

Two separate processes are required to enforce requirements reuse: design-for-reuse and design-by-reus *Design-by-reuse* is performed when a new conceptual schema is constructed not from scratch, but tailori reusable components retrieved from a reuse repository. *Design-for-reuse* is necessary to populate the reus repository with reusable components, properly defined to encapsulate the domain knowledge, exploitable is subsequently developing new applications not from scratch. The reuse-based development process requires reuse engineer, who is responsible for the population of the reuse repository, and several application designed which utilize the reuse repository (Fig.1).

The reuse engineering team defines reusable conceptual components in the form of generic components a associated guidelines, extracted from a collection of existing application conceptual schemas, and stores the in a reuse repository. Available components can then be retrieved and reused by application designers specify new schemas not from scratch, but personalizing and tailoring retrieved components, according to t suggested guidelines.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2, our reuse environment is described, based on the development lifecy presented in Fig.1, while in Sect.3 the related research work is discussed.



Castano - 3 Fig. 1. The reuse-based application development process

2 An environment for requirements reuse

Within the F^3 project, we propose models, methods, and tools to support both the design-for-reuse and the design-by-reuse, specifically oriented to the requirement specifications reuse problem [10,13]. In Sect.2.1 the reuse meta-model is described. In Sect.2.2 the reuse methodology is presented, and, finally, in Sect.2.3, the architecture of the tools supporting the proposed methodology is illustrated.

2.1 Reuse meta-model

In order to present in a systematic way the reuse model underlying both the design-for-reuse and the designby-reuse processes, we introduce a reuse meta-model, describing the key concepts characterizing our approact

The reuse meta-model has been defined using the Entity-Relationship model [3] to give an integrated coherent description of all the needed inputs and results of the reuse environment. The reuse meta-model shown in Fig.2. A reusable component is defined as a Generic Component, with an associated Guidelin Component. Reusable components are defined by analyzing existing conceptual schemas of the applications a given domain. We consider a conceptual schema as a collection of (application) components, expressed in suitable conceptual model, describing the entities of the real world, their relationships, properties, an possibly, the associated processes [3,15,32]. Schemas produced by application designers are selected analyzed, clusterized, and properly arranged inside the repository. Precisely, to each schema a set of schem descriptors is associated [14]. In the repository, schemas are grouped by similarity levels into clusters, on the basis of the shared schema descriptors. For each schema cluster, affinity sets are defined, grouping the model similar schema components, that are modified and engineered into reusable components, together with th attributes, their relationships, which show how the component can be inserted in a schema, and is relationships.

In general, we assume to work within a given application domain, e.g.office domain, air traffic control doma banking domain, education domain. For a domain is essential to identify and define the terms frequently us in the domain (synonyms, homonyms, and so on), to determine both schema and component similarity. The werefer to a Thesaurus as the base for all this information.



Castano - 5 **Fig.2** The reuse meta-model

2.2 Reuse methodology

In F^3 we are specifically concerned with the design-for-reuse process. To this purpose, a reuse methodology the construction of reusable components and their associated guidelines has been defined. Starting fro properly selected application schemas, common and similar conceptual components are identified a classified; from these components generic components and associated guidelines are extracted and stored the reuse repository.

The phases of the F^3 reuse methodology are the following:

- selection of candidate schemas
- classification of candidate schemas
- selection and classification of candidate components
- design of reusable components
- assimilation of reusable components

Goals and characteristics of each phase are briefly described in the following.

During the first phase, the reuse engineer selects the schemas judged significant for both their design qual and prospect reuse. Criteria andmetrics to evaluate the reuse goodness of a schema in a given domain are und study.

The remaining methodological phases are based on the concept of similarity[30,22]. It is widely accepted the in order to be reusable, a component should be apt to be used in more than one application, possibly we adaptations and modifications according to the specific application requirements. To minimize the require operations of adaptation and tailoring, and to provide powerful components, similarities in different application schemas should be identified, and commonalties abstracted into generic components. To facilitate this proce we identify firstly similar schemas, and secondly similar components within similar schemas. To classification model adopted for schemas and components is based on indexing criteria and clusteri techniques, derived from the Information Retrieval area [29].

Schemas are indexed by means of schema descriptors, selected among the names associated to the schema components, for their capability of describing the schema subject [7,14]. Using the selected schema descriptors, the schemas are properly clusterized according to their level of similarity, giving origin to seve schema clusters inside the repository, using information retrieval clustering techniques [28,29].

The schema clusters constitute the starting point for defining reusable components. Selected a cluster, seman affinity between schema components is computed taking into account the structural and contextual properties the components (e.g.,attributes, generalization hierarchies, relationships). Schema components presenting semantic affinity greater than a predefined threshold are grouped into affinity sets, i.e.,hierarchical structural whose leaves are the examined components, and the intermediate nodes are numerical values expressing to level of affinity for the corresponding components.

Affinity sets are used by the reuse engineer to extract generic components, in that the properties a relationships common to all the affinity set members are identified and factored out into the correspondi

Castano - 7 generic component. For each generic component the corresponding guidelines are

defined, including reuse suggestions to personalize the generic componentin specific contexts. Adaptations a modification suggestions are given in the form of design operations, applicable to the generic compone (e.g., refinement, specialization, aggregation operations) [15,9].

Finally, newly created reusable components must be assimilated within the reuse repository, to enrich t existing reusable components and to augment their description capabilities. To this purpose, we are studyi view integration techniques to properly integrate the new components with the existing ones [2].

2.3 Reuse tools

A couple of tools characterize our reuse environment, precisely the EXTRACT tool, supporting the design-for reuse process and the RECAST tool supporting the design-by-reuse process, interacting with the reur repository, and with other tools in the F³ requirement engineering support environment (Fig.3).



Fig.3 Global F³architecture

The RECAST tool [1,17], developed first within the ITHACA ESPRIT project, and now under refinement be integrated within the F^3 reuse environment, supports the design-by-reuse process. Such a tool provides workspace where components are graphically represented and interconnected according to composition ru [12]. More precisely, it enforces the retrieval of reusable components from the reuse repository via browsi

Castano - 9 and querying functionalities, providing also guidelines to

tailor and compose, at various refinement levels, the retrieved components, according to given strategies a application contexts.

The EXTRACT tool has been specifically designed and implemented to support the reuse methodolo described in Sect.2.2. In the following, its functionalities are briefly described. Basically, EXTRACT is interactive tool, such as the reuse tools proposed in [30,22], assisting the reuse engineer in defining no reusable components.

In order to assist the reuse engineer during selection of candidate schemas, EXTRACT will provide functionality of retrieval and selection of existing schemas, according to suitable reuse metrics and selectic criteria. Selection functionalities will be built on top of the browsing and retrieval functionalities provided the reuse repository (see [13] for further details about the reuse repository implementation).

To properly arrange selected candidate schemas within the repository, EXTRACT provides a schem classification functionality, whereby the reuse repository is populated in a systematic way. EXTRAC classifies reuse candidate schemas according to the following steps:

- automatic selection of schema descriptors, using the procedure detailed in [10]. The reuse engineer c adjust in an interactive way the threshold value for descriptor selection according to the schem contents, and can force the inclusion of specific/meaningful descriptors, in order to improve the qual of the schema indexing;
- computation of the schema similarity for all the indexed schemas;
- definition of the similarity clusters, using the single link clustering technique.

Starting from the schema clusters, EXTRACT selects candidate components and classifies them accordi to their semantic affinity. The following steps are performed by EXTRACT:

- pairwise semantic affinity comparisons for conceptual components that are descriptors of schemas in given cluster;
- automatic definition of affinity sets, using the complete link clustering technique [29] and the affin coefficients. The reuse engineer can examine the affinity sets, and interactively modify the affin threshold, to improve and/or refine their composition.

The reuse engineer can then invoke the definition of reusable components functionality of EXTRACT, extract the reusable component for defined affinity sets. Precisely, EXTRACT performs the followi operations:

- automatic definition of a Generic Component, by considering the structural properties, and t contextual properties (relationships, generalization hierarchies) common to all the affinity components. The reuse engineer can interactively modify the number of affinity set components to considered for the commonalities' extraction, in order to refine the definition of the generic component
- interactive definition of reuse guidelines for a Generic Component, in form of design operations to applied to the generic component to adapt and tailor it to specific contexts.

3 Related work

Several research efforts are currently in progress in the requirements reuse area. They are mainly related to t design-by-reuse process, and most of the currently available systems provide some form of support for t application developer, in retrieving and tailoring selected reusable components, exploiting either the analo paradigm, or the artificial intelligence techniques. In particular, in [16] a reuse analogy-based model proposed, and the TRUE tool based on that model is described, supporting the reuse of transacti specifications. Starting from the target application requirements, a set of reusable specifications a retrieved, exploiting different retrieval strategies, based either on analogical reasoning, oron browsing similar components. Retrieved components can then be used through restructuring, editing, and tailori operations, to fit the new requirements. In [22] an analogy based approach is proposed for reusi requirements specification, and reusable specifications are given in form of abstract domain mode supporting reuse across several applications. A prototype tool, called Ira, is described, enforcing the design-b reuse, by providing retrieval functionalities, and customization guidance to tailor the retrieved candidate specifications to the target domain. Abstract domain models proposed in [22] are similar to the clic proposed in [27], where a tool to assist the acquisition of requirements is proposed, based on artific intelligence techniques to support the knowledge acquisition process. Acquired requirements are match against existing validated cliché, describing the commonly occurring structures in one or more domains. In [3 the concept of similarity is exploited to allow requirements reuse. Here an interactive environment described, where a set of tools helpapplication designers in retrieving and reusing object-oriented requirement specifications similar to the application at hand, properly selected among the stored specifications, on the ba of their level of similarity. In [19] an approach is proposed, to develop new information systems requirement making use of generic structures properly instantiated to the current context, with more emphasis for t engineering process rather than the definition process of generic structures.

Our research addresses the design-for-reuse process, and we propose a constructive approach for defini reusable components, while this aspect of reuse is sometimes given as a *de facto*. We cover the problem repository population, (generally left open or dealt with using examples), by building reusable gene specifications for both static (see [7,8,10]), and dynamic aspects (see [11,12,13]) of the applicati requirements, presenting generic features like those of the abstract domain models proposed in [22], and t cliché illustrated in [27].

Acknowledgements

Part of this work has been supported by the ESPRIT III Projectn.6612 F³ (From Fuzzy to Formal) and by t Italian National Research Council "Progetto Finalizzato Informatica e Calcolo Parallelo", L.R.C. Infokit.

References

- M.Ader, O. Nierstrasz, S. McMahon, G. Muller, A. K. Proefrock, "The ITHACA Technology: a Landscape Object-Oriented Application Development", *Proc. ESPRIT'90 Conf.*, Kluwer Academic Publish November 1990
- [2] C. Batini, M. Lenzerini, S.B. Navathe, "A Comparative Analysis of Methodologies for Database Scher Integration", ACM Computing Surveys, Vol.18, December 1986, pp.323-364

Castano - 13 [3] C. Batini, S. Ceri, S.Navathe, *Conceptual Database Design*, Addison Wesley, 1991

- [4] D.Batory, S.O'Malley, "The Design and Implementation of Hierarchical Software Systems with Reusal Components", ACMTOSEM, Vol.1,N.4, October 1992, pp.355-398
- [5] T.J. Biggerstaff, A.J. Perlins(eds.), "Software Reusability Concepts and Models", vol.I, ACM Pre Addison-Wesley, 1990
- [6] G. Caldiera, V.R. Basili, "Identifying and qualifying reusable software components", IEEE Comput Vol. 24, N. 2, Feb. 1991
- [7] S.Castano, V. DeAntonellis, B. Zonta, "Classifying and reusing conceptual schemas", in *Proc. of ER'S Int. Conf. on the Entity-Relationship Approach, LNCS n.645*, Springer Verlag, Karlsruhe, October 1992
- [8] S.Castano, V. DeAntonellis, "A Model for Reusable Requirements", F3 Report, F³.PdM.2-1 R1,November 1992
- [9] S.Castano, V. DeAntonellis, "Reuse of Conceptual Requirement Specifications", in Proc. of I '93,ACM/IEEE Int. Conf. on Requirements Engineering, San Diego, CA, January 1993
- [10] S.Castano, V. DeAntonellis, "A Constructive Approach to Reuse of Conceptual Components", in Proc. 2nd ACM/IEEE Int. Workshop on Software Reusability, Lucca, Italy, March1993
- [11] S. Castano, V. DeAntonellis, "Reusing Process Specifications", in Proc. IFIP Working Conference Information System Development Process, IFIP WG 8.1, Como, Italy, September 1993, North-Hollan pp.267-283
- [12] S. Castano, V. De Antonellis, C.Francalanci, M.G. Fugini, B. Pernici, R.Bellinzona, L. Vando "Methodology for reuse", F³ Report, F³.PdM.2-1-3-R2, Politecnico di Milano, April 1993
- [13] S. Castano, V. DeAntonellis, P.Sanpietro, "Reuse of Object-Oriented Requirements Specifications", appear in Proc. ER '93, 12th Int. Conf.on The Entity Relationship Approach, Dallas Arlinghton, T USA, December 1993
- [14] V. DeAntonellis, B. Zonta, "A disciplined Approach to Office Analysis", IEEE TSE, Vol. 16, No. 8, 199 pp. 822-828
- [15] V. DeAntonellis, S. Castano, B. Pernici, A. Piovesana, "The ITHACA Object Oriented Methodolog Application Developer Manual", ITHACA Report, ITHACA.POLIMI-UDUNIV, 1992
- [16] A.Finkelstein, "Reuse of Formatted Requirements Specifications", Software Engineering Journ September 1988,pp.186-197
- [17] M.G. Fugini, O. Nierstrasz, B. Pernici, "Application Development through Reuse: the Ithaca To Environment", SIGOIS Bulletin, vol. 13, n. 2, August 1992
- [18] M.G. Fugini, S. Faustle, "Querying a Software Information Base for Component Reuse", to apper Proc. of 2nd ACM/IEEE Int. Workshop on Software Reusability, Lucca, Italy, March1993
- [19] G.Grosz, "Buiding Information System Requirements Using Generic Structures", Nature Report Ser N.92-06, 1992

- [20] C.W.Krueger, "Software Reuse", ACM Computing Surveys, Vol.24, N.2, June 1992, pp.131-183
- [21] Y.S. Maarek, D.M.Berry, G.E. Kaiser, "An Information Retrieval Approach For Automatica Constructing Software Libraries", IEEE TSE, Vol.17,No.8, August 1991, pp.800-813
- [22] N.A. Maiden, A.G. Sutcliffe, "Exploiting Reusable Specifications Through Analogy", Communications the ACM, Vol.35, N.4, April 1992, pp.55-64
- [23] J.W. Hooper, R. O. Chester, Software Reuse: Guidelines and Methods, Plenum Press, Division of Plenu Publishing Corporation, NewYork, 1991
- [24] E. Ostertag, J. Hendler, R. Prieto-Diaz, C. Braun, "Computing Similarity in a Reuse Library System: AI-Based Approach", ACM TOSEM, Vol.1, No.3, July 1992, pp.205-228
- [25] R. Prieto-Diaz, "Implementing Faceted Classification for Software Reuse", Comm. of the ACM, v 34,n. 5, May 1991
- [26] Proceedings of REBOOT Workshop on Reuse, Esprit Project 5327, Grenoble, September 1991
- [27] H.B. Reubenstein, R.C. Waters, "The Requirements Apprentice: Automated Assistance for Requirement Acquisition", IEEE TSE, Vol.17, N.3, March 1991
- [28] G. Salton, Automatic Textt Processing The Transformation, Analysis and Retrieval of Information Computer, Addison-Wesley, 1989
- [29 G. Salton, Ch. Buckley, "Term Weighting Approachesin Automatic Text Retrieval", Informati Processing and Management, vol. 24, Nr. 5, 1989, pp.513-523
- [30 G.Spanoudakis, P.Constantopoulos, "Similarity for Analogical Software Reuse: A Conceptual Modelli Approach", inProc. of CAiSE '93, Int. Conf. on Advanced Information Systems Engineering, Paris, Ju 1993
- [31] W. Tracz ed., Software Reuse: Emerging Technology, IEEE Computer Society Press, Washington D. 1988
- [32] R. Wirfs-Brock, B. Wilkerson, L. Wiener, *Designing Object-Oriented Software*, Englewood Cliffs, N Prentice Hall, 1990

4 Biography

Valeria De Antonellis is a professor of computer science at the Politecnico di Milano, Engineering Faculty. E major areas of research include conceptual models, methodologies and tools for databases and informati systems design. She is coordinator of the AICA WG on Databases. She is co-author of Relational Databa Theory (Benjamin Cummings) and co-editor of Computer-Aided Database Design (North-Holland). She

currently responsible of the research team from Politecnico di Milano in the ESPRITProject n. 6612 - (From Fuzzy to Formal).

Silvana Castano is PhD student at the Politecnico di Milano, Engineering Faculty. Her major areas of research are related to models and methods for database and information system design. She is currently member of the

research team from Politecnico di Milano in the ESPRIT Project n.6612 - F³ (From Fuzzy to Formal).