

Peer Reviewer's Evaluation Sheet

Reviewer's name

Author of article

Title of article

Overall assessment (circle the appropriate number in the list below):

1. Acceptable without modification
2. Acceptable with minor modifications
3. Acceptable only after substantial changes
4. Unacceptable – requires complete revision, or an alternative choice of topic

Evaluation: *Your task is to consider the quality of the article, in terms of how well it uses the information from the original primary scientific paper and how effective it is as an example of scientific communication (in terms of content and style), including the broader aspects of the work used as the basis of the article. Your comments should justify your overall assessment of the article.. Use a continuation sheet , if necessary.*

Note that the reviewer should also make specific comments (e.g. in red pen) on the original article – e.g. to correct minor errors, or to provide numbers for cross-referencing against the evaluation below. (continue overleaf, if necessary):