Comparative Performance Tests of ODBC Drivers and Proprietary Database Application Programming Interfaces

Prepared by: Ken North August 20, 1995

> Resource Group, Inc. 2604B El Camino Real, #351 Carlsbad, CA 92008-1214

Comparative Performance Tests of ODBC Drivers and Proprietary Database Application Programming Interfaces

Executive Summary

Standard or common programming interfaces for accessing SQL data provide connectivity to multiple databases by the use of a single application programming interface (API). One such interface is Open Database Connectivity (ODBC), an API from Microsoft, derived from the call level interface (CLI) published by the SQL Access Group and X/Open in 1992. An issue important to developers considering the use of ODBC is whether ODBCOs performance is comparable to that of proprietary programming interfaces—the native data access interfaces supported by individual DBMS products.

Resource Group, Inc. conducted verifiable, independent tests comparing performance of ODBC drivers and proprietary database programming interfaces. This report compares the performance of ODBC drivers and proprietary SQL APIs when commanded to execute a suite of identical queries.

SQL Application Performance

SQL application performance will vary for a number of reasons other than the choice of API. To clarify the effect that an API has on performance, the tests used the same clients, servers, network, tables and SQL statements. The performance tests used a benchmark program that permits the user to select either a native API or ODBC calls to process SQL statements. The program executes queries that retrieve data using SELECT statements, updates tables using UPDATE statements, deletes rows using DELETE, and so on. In simple terms, each test performs the same operations against identical data but varies the programming interface. This technique produces test results that are a valid comparison of API solutions: native APIs versus ODBC. ODBC tests used DataDirect ODBC Drivers from INTERSOLV, Inc. of Rockville, MD.

Performance Test Organization and Operation

The software used for the performance tests is a Microsoft Windows client application comprised of several programs written in C.^{*} The main benchmark application is a Windows executable (EXE) program that selectively calls dynamic link libraries (DLLs) to execute the logic for either ODBC or native API access to a DBMS. The benchmark application executes one or more tests from among a user-selectable menu of ten tests. The benchmark programs use an employee and department table with columns representative of typical data, such as *hire date* and *salary*. The application records the execution time for the following:

- ¥ insertion test
- ¥ five SELECT (retrieval) queries
- Ψ two tests of updates
- \mathbf{X} two tests of deletions

The tests include logic that uses various SQL programming techniques such as *direct* or *immediate execution* queries and *prepare and execute* queries. The application records the following information in two log files:

- First The test The
- ¥ SQL statements executed
- \mathbf{F} execution times
- F other pertinent information

Tests used a variety of client and server hardware and software, including Intel and RISC processors. Also used were a variety of network libraries and operating systems (Windows 3.1, NetWare, Windows NT, OS/2, Sun OS). The suite of tests compared ODBC driver performance with embedded SQL or call level interfaces for the following database systems:

- ¥ Oracle 7
- ¥ INFORMIX 5.01
- ¥ Sybase System 10.0.2

The benchmark summary presented here represents multiple tests using tables populated with 2500 employees and 70 departments. Multiple runs produced execution times used to compute a mean time for each test.

^{*} Source code for the native and ODBC programs will be published to permit developers to conduct their own tests.

The tables that follow are the results from calculating the mean of the execution time for native API and ODBC access. Line 1 is the average execution time using native access. Line 2 is ODBC access. Line 3 is the difference (in seconds) calculated by subtracting line 2 from line 1. A minus in line 3 indicates a test where ODBC was faster, a plus indicates a test where ODBC was slower than native performance. Line 4 is ODBC execution time expressed as a percentage where native execution time equals 100 percent.

API	Рор	Qry 1	Qry 2	Ory 3	Qry 4	Qry 5	Upd	Up 1	Del
Native	206.15	14.78	2.54	30.59	7.16	68.80	8.81	145.23	19.34
ODBC	199.78	14.02	2.58	33.32	6.81	51.63	9.93	146.16	17.98
Diff (sec)	-6.37	76	+.04	+2.73	35	-17.17	+1.12	+.93	-1.36
Diff %	96.9	94.8	101	109	95.1	75.0	113	101	93

Oracle 7: Oracle Call Interface and ODBC

INFORMIX 5.01: Embedded SQL and ODBC

n a orașini biori Emotatată SQE ană OBBE										
API	Рор	Qry 1	Qry 2	Qry 3	Qry 4	Qry 5	Upd	Up 1	Del	Del1
Native	36.03	8.90	2.41	18.81	7.75	74.68	4.00	34.27	6.50	36.01
ODBC	39.16	9.38	2.16	19.26	7.98	73.93	3.75	32.35	5.94	33.80
Diff (sec)	+3.13	+.48	25	+.45	+.23	75	25	-1.92	56	-2.21
Diff %	109	106	89.6	102	103	98.9	93.7	94.4	91.4	93.9

SYBASE 10.0.2: CT-Library and ODBC

API	Рор	Qry 1	Qry 2	Qry 3	Qry 4	Qry 5	Upd	Up 1	Del	Del 1
Native	55.87	11.81	1.61	27.39	20.95	252.38	8.94	296.00	4.77	105.29
ODBC	58.54	10.25	1.22	22.39	13.32	258.34	5.68	171.16	5.88	111.34
Diff (sec)	2.67	-1.56	39	-5.00	-7.63	+5.96	-3.26	-124.84	+1.11	+6.05
Diff %	105	86.8	75.8	81.7	63.6	102	63.5	57.8	123	106

Note: To correct a technical error in earlier tests the Qry 5 tests were repeated using a different test configuration than the other SYBASE tests. The times for the Populate query are unaudited.

Legend	
Pop	= populate tables
Qry 1	= select all employees
Qry 2	= select by hire date
Qry 3	= join and order by
Qry 4	= salary grouped by department
Qry 5	= select 1 row
Upd	= update all rows
Up 1	= update 1 row by employee ID
Del	= delete all rows
Del1	= delete 1 row by employee ID

Analysis

An examination of the mean execution time of 29 tests reveals that 12 tests showed faster native performance while 17 tests showed faster ODBC performance. In fact, in the 17 tests in which ODBC had a performance advantage, the difference was greater than 10 percent in 8 tests. In the 12 tests in which native performance was better, the difference was greater than 10 percent in 2 tests. The overall performance difference summary by database is:

- ¥ Oracle 7 97.64%
- ¥ INFORMIX 5.01- 98.67%
- ¥ Sybase System 10 86.52%

Note: Results are meaningful only for an API to API comparison. These performance tests used the same client, server and database when running the native API and ODBC comparisons for

each DBMS. However, tests for Oracle, Informix and Sybase used different servers, server operating systems and networks. For example, the Oracle Call Interface and Oracle ODBC drivers tests used the same test configuration but it was not the same as the Sybase or Informix test configuration. Therefore, it is not feasible to do a valid comparison of servers or SQL database products by using these results.

Conclusion

These tests demonstrate that performance when using ODBC may often be superior to native performance and that when native performance is superior the difference is often minor. The obvious conclusion is that there is no performance degradation from using ODBC.

A technical report that describes the benchmarks and the test software will be available at a later date. An announcement of its availability and ordering information will be posted to the ODBC library (library 10) of the WINEXT forum of CompuServe and the General Library (library 1) of the INTERSOLV forum of CompuServe.

*Source code for the native and ODBC programs will be published to permit developers to conduct their own tests.

To contact Ken North:

CompuServe 71301,1306 Internet 71301.1306@compuserve.com