

# File name: testmath.tex

American Mathematical Society

January 1995

## 1 Introduction

This paper contains examples of various features from -L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X.

## 2 Enumeration of Hamiltonian paths in a graph

Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of graph  $G$ . The corresponding Kirchhoff matrix  $K$  is obtained from  $A$  by replacing in  $A$  each diagonal entry by the degree of its corresponding vertex; i.e., the  $i$ th diagonal entry is identified with the degree of the  $i$ th vertex. It is well known that

$$\det K(i|i) = \text{the number of spanning trees of } G, i=1, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

where  $K(i|i)$  is the  $i$ th principal submatrix of  $K$ .

$\det K(i|i) = \text{the number of spanning trees of } G$ ,

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of graphs obtained from  $G$  by attaching edge  $e$  to each spanning tree of  $G$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is obvious that the collection of Hamiltonian cycles is a subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Note that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $2^n$ . Let

$\mathcal{H} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

Define multiplication for the elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  by

(2)

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the number of Hamiltonian cycles is given by the relation [1]

(3)

The task here is to express (2) in a form free of any  $i=1, \dots, n$ . The result also leads to the resolution of enumeration of Hamiltonian paths in a graph. It is well known that the enumeration of Hamiltonian cycles and paths in a complete graph and in a complete bipartite graph can only be found from *first combinatorial principles* [1]. One wonders if there exists a formula which can be used very efficiently to produce (2). Recently, using Lagrangian methods, Goulden and Jackson have shown that (2) can be expressed in terms of the determinant and permanent of the adjacency matrix [2]. However, the formula of Goulden and Jackson determines neither (2) nor (3) effectively. In this paper, using an algebraic method, we parametrize the adjacency matrix. The resulting formula also involves the determinant and permanent, but it can easily be applied to (2) and (3). In addition, we eliminate the permanent from (2) and show that (2) can be represented by a determinantal function of multivariables, each variable with domain  $0,1$ . Furthermore, we show that (2) can be written by number of spanning trees of subgraphs. Finally, we apply the formulas to a complete multigraph.

The conditions  $i, j=1, \dots, n$ , are not required in this paper. All formulas can be extended to a digraph simply by multiplying by 2.

## 3 Main Theorem

[Sorry. Ignored \beginnotation ... \endnotation]